



Midpeninsula Regional  
Open Space District

## Site E4 Assessment and Score Forms March 5, 2020

At the February 6, 2020 PAWG meeting, during discussion of sites under consideration, a fourth site at the Red Barn area was suggested. Site E4 is north and adjacent to the ranger residence at the Red Barn site. The PAWG members were invited to individually visit, assess and consider this location using the Other Options and Iterations assessment and scoring form.

The members noted below provided their scores, which are attached to this cover sheet.

| <b>PAWG Members</b> | <b>E4 Assessment Forms</b> |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Lou Bordi           | -                          |
| Ari Delay           | Submitted                  |
| Art Heinrich        | -                          |
| Karl Lusebrink      | Submitted                  |
| Barbara Hooper      | Submitted                  |
| Kathleen Moazed     | Submitted                  |
| Melany Moore        | Submitted                  |
| Denise Phillips     | Submitted                  |
| Andie Reed          | Submitted                  |
| Sandy Sommer        | Submitted                  |
| Willie Wool         | Submitted                  |
| Larry Hassett       | -                          |
| Curt Riffle         | -                          |



## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

#### E4 Site Assessment

#### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option  | E4   |
|---|--|
| Permit Only   | Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence<br>Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways. |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>If E4 were to be among our recommendations, it should be permit-only. E3 remains best choice in this area.</b>  |
| Docent-led hikes  | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>Docent hikes would be fine; preferred from E3.</b>  |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>3</b>   |

---

#### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Not an ideal place for amenities; limited access because of ranger house and shared driveway.</b> |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>E3 is a much better site for gathering and staging for hikers and docents.</b>                    |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |  |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.



## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

### E4 Site Assessment

### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option  | E4   |
|---|--|
| Permit Only   | Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence<br>Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways. |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | 5  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>Public comment and site safety conditions should preclude this site from consideration. Possibly use as a handicap access only to red barn ?</b>                                    |
| Docent-led hikes  | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | 6  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>Public comment and site safety conditions should preclude this site from consideration</b>  |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | 4  |

---

**Gradients of Agreement**

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  |   |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>3</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  |   |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  |   |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>Public comment and site safety conditions should preclude this site from consideration</b> |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  |   |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.



## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

#### E4 Site Assessment

#### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

#### Barbara Hooper

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option  | E4<br>Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence  |
|---|---|
| Permit Only   | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.                                |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | 6   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>Traffic and public safety concerns. <u>See Note 1 below.</u></b>   |
| Docent-led hikes  | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.                                |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | 6   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>Traffic and public safety concerns. I may be in favor of this option if visitors of Docent-led hikes arrived in MROSD provided vehicles.</b> |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.                                  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | 6   |

---

#### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>In favor of interpretive signage for hikers accessing area from Sears Ranch Road and Allen Road trails.</b>                  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |   |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |   |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Equestrian use in the area okay if the visitors arrived via a trail originating at the Event Center or Sears Ranch Road.</b> |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |   |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

**Notes:**

1. The only *relatively safe* ingress and egress access to LH07 and LH06 (the driveway to behind the Ranger Residence) on Highway 84 was demonstrated in our MidPen site tours on October 19, 2019 and November 16, 2019. It seems highly unlikely that visitors arriving to the areas for Docent-led hikes or Permit parking only would be as careful as the MidPen drivers who took the time to drive to Alice's Restaurant at Skyline Blvd. to safely enter the driveways and then exited to the west to return us to La Honda.
  - a. For each of those sites, **safe access** is:
    - i. When heading WEST on Highway 84, ENTER the driveway by making a right-hand turn.
    - ii. EXIT the driveway, by making a right-hand turn to *continue* heading west on Highway 84.
  - b. It is NOT safe to:
    - i. ENTER the driveway when heading EAST on Highway 84; a vehicle would need to make a left-hand turn, cross the double yellow line, and cross traffic heading west.
    - ii. EXIT the driveway to head EAST on Highway 84; a vehicle would need to make a left-hand turn, cross the double yellow line, and cross traffic heading west.

---

**Gradients of Agreement**

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.





## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

#### E4 Site Assessment

#### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option  | E4   |
|---|--|
|   | Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence   |
| Permit Only   | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>This area is hidden from view from the highway so would not impact any views and would be further from the ranger residence.</b>  |
| Docent-led hikes  | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>There is a lot going on here – the Red Barn, the bats, grazing, the gateway to the rest of the preserve, so it will be a popular site. It makes sense to offer docent led hikes here to educate visitors.</b> |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>1</b>   |

---

#### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>Given how much there is at this location, it makes sense to offer up some kind of education or interpretive info, whether that is via signage or docent led hikes. A gathering area would be great, but the size of the area may be a constraint.</b>                   |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>Given that this site is a bit lower down the slope there would not be the views to overlook, but picnic tables would be a nice addition to the site.</b>  |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  |  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>That's a bummer.</b>  |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>6</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>Same concerns apply about long trailers trying to enter/exit over Hwy 84</b>  |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>I assume this is not currently in the LHMP as there is no lot here. If this lot gets developed, could this be considered (like site B2)? As a launching site to the central portion of the preserve, many visitors would love to come here to hike with their dogs.</b> |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

---

**Gradients of Agreement**

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.



## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

#### E4 Site Assessment

#### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

**Karl Lusebrink**

| Option  | E4<br>Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence   |
|---|--|
| Permit Only   | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>E4 has lower impact to residence and less obtrusive in views from surrounding hills than E1. Permit can include specific highway entry safety instructions.</b> |
| Docent-led hikes  | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>Low volume, controlled access use is appropriate</b>  |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>2</b>   |

---

#### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Signage ok, other amenities may fit at nearby E3</b>  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Minimal infrastructure perhaps suitable at nearby E3. Keep visitors back from 100 ft. buffer around barn.</b> |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>na</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>5</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Unlikely due to poor highway access</b>   |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>5</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Fenced dog run area elsewhere</b>   |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.



## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

#### E4 Site Assessment

#### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option   | E4   |
|--|--|
| Permit Only  | Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence<br>Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>          | <b>6</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                          | <b>As a local accustomed to these roads, I was still nervous about entering and exiting this site. For those unfamiliar with Hwy 84, it could be quite dangerous.</b>  |
| Docent-led hikes                                     | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>          | <b>5</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                          | <b>I have the same reservations as expressed for permit only access, but perhaps feel slightly better with having a docent on site to coach visitors on how get in and out of the Preserve at this site as safely as possible. I would limit the access to 6-8 spaces.</b> |
| <i>Distribution of Use: Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |

#### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>While I don't like the use of this site along Hwy 84 at all, if it were located here I see no problem with these amenities being added here.</b>       |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>While I remain opposed to the use of this access point on Hwy 84 for safety reasons, if access is located here it seems a nice spot for picnicing.</b> |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Seems a moot point if no pump truck can access the site.</b>   |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>It would be very dangerous to have larger and slower moving horse trailers accessing the preserve at this site.</b>                                    |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>I am agnostic on this, I leave it to the MidPen Master Plan</b>  |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

---

**Gradients of Agreement**

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.





## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

#### E4 Site Assessment

#### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option  | E4<br>Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence   |
|---|--|
| Permit Only   | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways. |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>5</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>I am not in favor of Permit only lots &amp; I do not believe this is a safe access point on Highway 84</b>    |
| Docent-led hikes  | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways. |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             |  |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>1</b>   |

---

#### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>If a safe access is determined to be feasible, then i am strongly in favor of family use</b>  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>I am concerned that midPEN SHOULD have some Restrooms available<br/>Porta- Potties are a great alternative &amp; this should be considered, otherwise people will just use a ‘bush’ :))</b> |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>This is not a safe access point for Equestrians Use. The Highway curves at the access point ( I think)</b>  |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Dogs on leash should be considered, especially with the increase in Mountain Lion sightings/ activity</b>   |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.



**La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study**  
**Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020 –**  
**Sandy Sommer**

**E4 Site Assessment**  
**(Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)**

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option   | E4   |
|--|--|
| Permit Only  | Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence<br>Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>          | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                          | <b>Does not relate as well to Red Barn. Support as first phase. Suggested second phase: get creative to reduce speeds on Hwy 84, with hope of full site access in the future. This area is important to Ridge Trail continuity</b> |
| Docent-led hikes                                     | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>          | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                          | <b>Support as first phase. In long run, prefer that this site is fully open – eventually no docent needed. This area is important to Ridge Trail continuity.</b>   |
| <i>Distribution of Use: Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |

**Gradients of Agreement**

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>3</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         |   |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>3</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Good vistas to upper preserve and west</b>   |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>1</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Support no restroom with use of existing driveway. Still hope for full site access in the future. This area is important to Ridge Trail continuity</b> |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Agree – space limitations for equestrian staging</b>   |
| <b>Distribution of Use:</b><br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan.  |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>         | <b>6</b>  |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                         | <b>Concerned about habitat sensitivity</b>  |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

---

**Gradients of Agreement**

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.



## La Honda Creek Parking Feasibility Study

### Homework: Level of Support for March 5, 2020

#### E4 Site Assessment

#### (Adjacent to and North of Ranger Residence at Red Barn)

*Please indicate your level of support, using the scale of 1-6 as described in the footnote. If you would like to share ideas for what would make this element more appealing at this site, you may do so in the comments section.*

| Option  | E4<br>Red Barn Area – Area Adjacent to and North of the Ranger Residence   |
|---|--|
| Permit Only   | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways. |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>This site would mar the view from Upper La Honda Vista Point and provide too few spaces.</b>                  |
| Docent-led hikes  | Potential 10-15 spaces. Some site development (grading, retaining walls potentially). Use of existing driveways. |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                             | <b>Not a fan</b>   |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Education/Interpretation</i> | Potential for additional amenities related to education, e.g. gathering area, additional interpretive signage.   |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>             | <b>2</b>   |

---

#### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>I favor education</b>                   |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Picnic/family</i> | Potential.                                 |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>5</b>                                   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>No shade, sloped.</b>                   |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Restrooms</i>     | No. Pump truck access limitations.         |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>3</b>                                   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>Oh, darn!</b>                           |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Equestrian</i>    | Low potential. Space limitations.          |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>6</b>                                   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>People first</b>                        |
| Distribution of Use:<br><i>Dog access</i>    | Not currently in the La Honda Master Plan. |
| <i>PAWG Member Level of Support (1 – 6)</i>  | <b>6</b>                                   |
| <i>PAWG Member Comments</i>                  | <b>People and wild animals first.</b>      |

---

### Gradients of Agreement

**1:** I can say an unqualified “yes” to the proposal. **2:** I find the proposal acceptable. It appears to be the best of the options available to us at this time. **3:** I can live with the proposal, although I am not especially enthusiastic about it. **4:** I do not fully agree with the proposal, but I am willing to stand aside, remain neutral, so the process can move forward. **5:** I do not fully agree with the proposal. I have some suggestions and I would like the Working Group to do more work to see if we can reach a higher level of agreement. **6:** I do not agree with the proposal and I will work actively to oppose it.