



Midpeninsula Regional
Open Space District

PLANNING AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

R-19-13
January 22, 2019

AGENDA ITEM 3

AGENDA ITEM

Toto Ranch Rangeland Management Plan Update

GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION

Review and comment on the final draft Rangeland Management Plan for Toto Ranch, and recommend moving the item forward for Board approval.

SUMMARY

Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (District) contracted with Koopman Rangeland Consulting to develop a draft Rangeland Management Plan (RMP) for the District's Toto Ranch Property (Attachment 1). The RMP, completed in August of 2018, documents existing resources and current uses on the property, and provides recommendations for future improvements, management, and monitoring at the site. Among the recommendations are improvements to existing access roads, development of additional water infrastructure, updates to fencing, efforts to manage expansion of brush into grasslands on the site, and monitoring of resource management activities. The RMP is currently under review for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and staff is now seeking review and comment from the Planning and Natural Resources Committee (PNR) on the RMP and a recommendation to move the item forward to the full Board for consideration and approval.

DISCUSSION

Background

Toto Ranch was acquired by the District in 2012 from Peninsula Open Space Trust (R-12-109). The 953-acre property is located 9 miles south of Half Moon Bay and extends eastward from Highway 1 into the coastal hills (Attachment 2). The property includes approximately 941 acres of rangeland (Attachment 3), a residential site, and a farmyard/agricultural area (Attachments 4).

The rangelands at Toto Ranch include good examples of several native coastal communities that have supported active grazing operations for over 120 years. The site is currently managed primarily with year-round rotational cattle grazing run by Markegard Family Grass-Fed.

The RMP is specific to the property's rangeland component and was developed to identify, evaluate, and provide management guidance for resources unique to this component of the property. The RMP provides important depth, detail, and clarification to the existing preliminary use agreement. Use of other property components, such as the Agricultural Area, which is referenced in the RMP, will be handled separately. When the Board approves a new grazing

lease based on the RMP, the District will enter into a one-year license for the agricultural area that will maintain the status quo pending the development of an Agricultural Use Plan and related Agricultural Lease.

Markegard Family Grass-Fed were consulted throughout the preparation of the draft RMP and provided significant input, which is incorporated into the plan. The RMP recommends continued year-round rotational grazing with cattle managed by one tenant under a multi-year lease agreement. Recommended stocking rates range from 365.4 Animal Unit Months (AUMs, equivalent to 31 cows year-round) to 957.6 AUMs (80 cows year-round), depending on annual forage production. These stocking rates may be increased following completion of the recommended site improvements. In addition to cattle, the RMP provides specific guidelines for use of rangeland areas by horses. The plan allows for limited grazing by work horses in pastures 1, 2, and 3 during the dry season, and recommends additional monitoring of these areas to monitor for potential impacts associated with this use. Other land uses for horses is to be limited to the Agricultural Area, which will be covered in the Agricultural Use Plan as described above.

The RMP provides a framework to guide ongoing resource management based on the specific conditions and resources at Toto Ranch. With an emphasis on protecting both the unique biological resources and agricultural heritage of the site, the RMP is well aligned with the District's overall mission, Grazing Management Policy and the Coastal Service Plan. To accomplish these goals, the RMP recommends infrastructure improvements, resource management projects, stocking rates, and monitoring for the overall effectiveness of the conservation grazing program on the property and its positive effects on grassland habitats. The components of the RMP are discussed in more detail below.

Proposed Improvements to Infrastructure

To optimize effective use of grazing as a management tool for the rangelands at Toto Ranch, the RMP recommends several infrastructure improvements. The use of grazing animals to help manage the natural resources requires access for ranch vehicles, and fencing and water sources to manage the distribution of livestock. The proposed improvements include roads, fencing, and water resources.

- *Roads:* Most roads at Toto are generally in good condition. However, some improvements are recommended for resource management and protection. Two of the unpaved roads currently have significant gullies and rutting (RMP, page 46; and Attachment 5). The RMP recommends maintenance on these areas to sustain road functionality and minimize sedimentation from road erosion. In a third location, installation of a culvert is recommended to maintain road access and protect surface waters (RMP, page 46 and Attachment 5). The RMP also notes that resurfacing the paved primary access road may also be desirable to accommodate the high volume of traffic associated with ongoing agricultural business activities and potential future recreational use. Driveway improvements are scheduled for 2019. These recommendations are within the existing roadway footprint on the site, will facilitate use of the property, and reduce the risk of sediment transport to adjacent steelhead bearing streams.
- *Fencing:* The RMP recommends several repairs and updates to the existing fencing system at Toto Ranch. Both the West and South boundary fences are deteriorating and recommended to be fully replaced to keep grazing animals securely contained. Approximately two miles of new fencing is proposed within the ranch to improve the

capacity to manage livestock movement and rangeland use (RMP, page 46 Attachment 4). The RMP also identifies partial fencing of certain ponds to manage habitat for sensitive aquatic species such as California red-legged frogs and potential San Francisco garter snakes.

- *Water:* The availability of clean and reliable water is essential to the function of the conservation grazing program. Having well-distributed water sources helps disperse the influence of livestock on the landscape and reduce impacts on natural waterways, such as streams. The RMP recommends replacing the plastic water troughs in and around the Agricultural Area, installing a new waterline and trough north of the Agricultural Area, and improving the Pasture 3 water system, including the spring, pump, tank, pipe and troughs (RMP, page 47 and Attachment 4).

Proposed Brush Control

Grassland habitat on Toto Ranch are experiencing extensive brush encroachment, with the RMP estimating that rangeland productivity on the site has been reduced by 50-80% due to the encroachment of coyote brush. Consequently, the RMP calls for management of encroaching coyote brush in many parts of the property. Management of brush encroachment is important both for protecting the unique biodiversity associated with coastal grasslands and for rangeland productivity to support the conservation grazing. The District is working with consultants to separately develop a Toto Ranch brush management plan that will comply with the District's Board-approved Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM Plan). Per the procedures outlined in the IPM Plan, the brush management plan for Toto Ranch will be reviewed and finalized by the IPM coordination team and implementation will follow.

Monitoring

To assess the effectiveness of the resource management activities and inform adaptive management at Toto Ranch, the RMP recommends an ongoing monitoring program. The RMP specifies protocols for monitoring residual dry matter (RDM) as a broad indicator of range health and establishing photo-monitoring points to help assess landscape level changes in response to management. In addition to these methods for broad assessment, more intensive monitoring protocols may be used in association with specific projects. For example, District staff have initiated point intercept monitoring of vegetation in some of the grazed pastures at Toto to assess the response of pasture plant communities to changes in the grazing regime. Implementation of the brush management plan will also likely have its own monitoring protocol that is more specific than the guidelines for the overall RMP. This information will help staff adjust resource management activities to ensure that use of Toto Ranch is consistent with the District's mission.

FISCAL IMPACT

The adopted FY2018-19 budget includes sufficient funds for Board approved contracts to develop the RMP for the District's Toto Ranch Property and CEQA review of the RMP. Additionally, the RMP specifies several recommended improvements to infrastructure related to roads, fencing and water. Funding for these activities will be incorporated into future fiscal year's Budget and Action Plan following the normal Board approval process.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public notice was provided as required by the Brown Act. Public Notice was also sent to the project interested parties list on January 17, 2019, and posted on the District webpage.

CEQA COMPLIANCE

The Toto Ranch RMP is currently under review by District staff and consultants for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act. We anticipate recommending adoption of a mitigated negative declaration for implementation of the plan, which is currently scheduled for Board certification in May of 2019. Review of projects recommended by the RMP are underway and District staff is currently working with consultants to identify mitigation strategies.

NEXT STEPS

Following review and comment by the Planning and Natural Resources Committee, next steps will include soliciting review and input from the San Mateo County Farm Bureau, completing the CEQA analysis, and adoption of the RMP as an amendment to the Preliminary Use and Management Plan (see R-12-109) by the full Board of Directors. Additionally, staff will be completing the site-specific brush management plan for Toto Ranch and will begin implementation of this work in the next fiscal year.

Attachments

1. Toto Ranch Rangeland Management Plan
2. Toto Ranch Map (regional setting and boundaries)
3. Grazing Premise Map
4. Proposed Improvements to Infrastructure Map
5. Road Repairs Map

Responsible Department Head:
Kirk Lenington, Natural Resource Department

Prepared by:
Lewis Reed, Rangeland Ecologist/Botanist, Natural Resource Department

Toto Ranch
Tunitas Creek Open Space Preserve
Rangeland Management Plan



PREPARED FOR:

Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District
330 Distel Circle
Los Altos, CA 94022
(650) 691-1200

PREPARED BY:

Clayton Koopmann, California Certified Rangeland Manager (CRM) #M-100
Koopmann Rangeland Consulting
July 2018



CONTENTS

I. Property Summary Information

Easement Summary Information Page 3

II. Executive Summary

Introduction and Background Page 4

III. Purpose of Rangeland Management Plan

Introduction and Background Page 6

IV. Goals & Objectives of RMP

Goals and Objectives Page 6

V. Existing Resources and Infrastructure

Land Use Information Page 10

Improvements Page 12

Soil Description.....Page 13

Vegetation DescriptionsPage 18

Water Sources.....Page 21

WildlifePage 23

Existing Agricultural InfrastructurePage 24

Rangeland Condition.....Page 29

VI. Capacity for Conducting Agricultural Uses

Grazing Capacity Estimate Page 31

Dairy Capacity.....Page 33

Additional Livestock, Equine, and Poultry Capacity.....Page 33

Field Crop CapacityPage 34

VII. Management Recommendations & BMPs

Rangeland Livestock Operations.....Page 35
Pathogen Reduction & Risk ManagementPage 40
Special Status Species ManagementPage 41
Invasive Plant Control.....Page 44
Additional Small Livestock ProductionPage 46

VIII. Improvements & Maintenance Recommendations

Fence Repair and Installation.....Page 46
Road Repairs and MaintenancePage 47
Water Infrastructure Improvements.....Page 47
Vegetation Management.....Page 47

IX. Recommended Monitoring Protocols

Monitoring.....Page 50

References

References.....Page 55

Certification

CRM (Plan Preparer) CertificationPage 56

Attachments:

Attachment - A..... Stock Photos of Toto Ranch, 2017
Attachment - BGuidelines for RDM Monitoring, UC ANR
Attachment – C..... Vegetation Composition Species List (Observed)
Attachment – D..... CRLF Management Recommendations for Toto Ranch

I. PROPERTY SUMMARY INFORMATION:

Owner(s): Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District

Contact Person: Coty Sifuentes-Winter, Natural Resources Department

Phone Number: (650) 691-1200

Property Address: 20800 Cabrillo Highway S.
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Mailing Address: 330 Distel Circle
Los Altos, CA 94022

Date of Property Acquisition: November 30, 2012

Conservation Easement: 2007

Easement Holder: Coastside Land Trust; Reassigned to Peninsula Open Space Trust (POST) upon acquisition by Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (Midpen) in 2012.

Assessor's Parcel #
And Acreage: 081-060-101; 081-060-100; 081-060-110; 081-060-120 / 952.49 acres

Major watershed: Tunitas Creek

Type of land use: Livestock grazing/Residential/Agriculture

Zoning: PAD, Planned Agricultural District

Location

Description: Toto Ranch is located on the east side of Highway 1 in unincorporated San Mateo County, approximately 9 miles south of Half Moon Bay and 1 mile north of the town of San Gregorio. Toto Ranch is adjacent to State Highway 1 and is bordered to the north by Tunitas Creek. Private grazed rangelands are present to the south and east of Toto Ranch. Toto Ranch is accessed via a paved/gravel driveway off Highway 1.

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Rangeland Management Plan (RMP)

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION & HISTORY:

The Toto Ranch property (Ranch) is located within the San Mateo County Coastside Protection Area in unincorporated San Mateo County approximately 9 miles south of the town of Half Moon Bay, California (Exhibit-A). The Ranch is comprised of four (4) assessor's parcel numbers totaling 952.49 acres located to the east of and adjacent to State Highway 1 and bordered to the north by Tunitas Creek and Dry Creek. The Ranch is north-northwest facing and topography primarily ranges from gently rolling to moderately steep slopes with two steep canyons that run south-north into the Tunitas Creek stream corridor. The level to gently rolling areas of the Ranch support annual grasslands and coastal scrub habitat with heavy coyote brush encroachment. The steeper canyon areas are comprised of dense brush and riparian corridors. Eucalyptus trees and Monterey Cypress are present in the farmyard area as well as a large, dense, eucalyptus stand east of the Agricultural Lease. Elevation ranges from 20 feet near Tunitas Creek in the northwest corner to 885 feet on the ridge top along the south border.

Historically the Ranch was used for production agriculture, with active row crop farming on the swales and ridge tops during the mid-1900's. Presently the Ranch is used primarily for cattle grazing. The existing tenant resides on the property and grazes beef cattle year-round on the grassland portion of the Ranch. In addition to cattle grazing, the tenant raises a variety of domestic livestock including horses, chickens, pigs, goats, sheep, alpacas, and milk cows in the Agricultural Lease area located near the center of the property. Livestock infrastructure includes adequate perimeter fence, livestock water troughs, a functional corral/processing facility, and "cow tight" interior pasture fencing. Water troughs around the Agricultural Lease area and front pastures are fed via a windmill powered well and residential water is provided via a natural spring just south of the Agricultural Lease. Two perennial stockponds, multiple springs, and ten (10) seasonal ponds/catchments are located throughout the Ranch providing water for livestock and valuable habitat for wildlife. The Ranch drains south to north into Dry Creek and Tunitas Creek, totaling approximately 9,000 feet of perennial stream frontage. Tunitas Creek is a direct tributary to the Pacific Ocean.

OWNERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT GOALS:

Toto Ranch was acquired by Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (Midpen) in 2012 with an agricultural conservation easement (Easement) in place covering the entire property. Midpen's conservation grazing goals are to manage District land utilizing livestock grazing that is protective of natural resources and compatible with public access; to maintain and enhance the diversity of native plant and animal communities; manage vegetation fuel for fire protection; help sustain the local agricultural economy, and preserve and foster appreciation for the region's rural agricultural heritage. In order to achieve the goals of the conservation grazing program, this

Rangeland Management Plan (RMP) will provide a framework around which resource managers, land managers, and grazing tenants can make rangeland management decisions on the Ranch with adaptive management changes.

As stipulated in this RMP, conservation management practices are to be implemented by Midpen and the grazing lessee for all grazing areas of the Ranch, and applied specifically to livestock grazing operations and rangeland management. Conservation management practices include but are not limited to; maintenance and construction of livestock water developments (including onsite ponds), livestock fencing and corrals, ranch roads, and vegetation management to protect and enhance habitat for wildlife, native flora, and water quality and fire protection. Shrubland and forest areas that are not suitable for livestock grazing provide valuable wildlife habitat and should be managed to protect and enhance habitat value and connectivity for wildlife migration.

MANAGEMENT RECOMENDATIONS & RESPONSIBILITIES:

The Ranch should be operated by one lessee with a multi-year grazing lease. Conservation grazing using cattle should continue on rangeland portions of the Ranch outside of the Agricultural Lease area. Cattle loading/off-loading and processing should occur in the corral located within the Agricultural Lease area. All domestic livestock production including horses, goats, chickens, pigs, turkeys, etc. should be confined to the Agricultural Lease area. Seven (7) horses can be used for cattle operations in rangeland. A separate lease will be prepared for the Agricultural Lease portion of the ranch.

The Ranch should be grazed year round, dependent upon available forage and livestock water, with cattle rotated between the five (5) existing pastures. If available forage and/or stock water is not adequate to support grazing livestock, cattle should temporarily be removed from the Ranch or grazing restricted to seasonal use. Water use shall be prioritized for cattle grazing the rangeland pastures under the conservation grazing program with secondary water use applied to domestic livestock within the Agricultural Lease area.

The estimated stocking rate for an average forage production year is 632.0 Animal Unit Months (AUMs) or 53.0 animal units year round, but would significantly increase with a reduction in coyote brush in the grasslands. Stocking rates for the Ranch will vary annually based on available forage and water and should be adjusted accordingly to accommodate available resources.

The monitoring program for grazed Midpen land must ensure that specified rangeland uses are in compliance with the applicable land use regulations and the land stewardship goals, objectives, and implementing guidelines. Midpen staff will use rangeland/habitat health checklists and photo point monitoring forms to monitor grasslands annually in the fall prior to rainfall.

III. Purpose of Rangeland Management Plan

The purpose of this RMP is to provide a framework for resource managers, land managers, and grazing tenants to make rangeland management decisions on the Ranch resulting in adaptive management changes to grazing practices, as needed (e.g. stocking rate reduction due to drought). The RMP addresses appropriate rangeland management practices for soil and water conservation, erosion control, pest management, nutrient management, water quality, and habitat protection on the Ranch.

This RMP should be reviewed at least every 10 years, or sooner, and updated in the event of significant changes in land use or management practices, or a change in ownership. An updated RMP may expand the specific plan for the conduct of commercial agricultural uses to include activities that are not currently being conducted on the Toto Ranch, but that are consistent with the Easement and resource management policies of Midpen.

IV. Goals and Objectives of RMP

The goals and objectives of the Rangeland Management Plan are to:

- A. Describe appropriate historic, current, and potential future agricultural uses.
- B. Inventory existing agricultural resources, including soils, water sources, grassland vegetation, forage quality and production, croplands, and infrastructure.
- C. Determine capacity for conducting viable agricultural uses.
- D. Establish provisions for minimizing erosion and transport of potential pollutants into creeks.
- E. Provide a list of Best Management Practices (BMPs) for climate related impacts, grazing standards, invasive species management, water resources and conservation.
- F. Provide specific guidance for the conduct of agricultural uses that complies with the restrictions contained in the Easement. The plan will include, as appropriate, Animal Unit Equivalents (AUE), ranch forage production estimates, available forage, crop production estimates, and capacity for any other agricultural uses described in the RMP.

The Coastal Annexation Area Mission Statement of Midpen is ^[1]:

"To acquire and preserve in perpetuity open space land and agricultural land of regional significance, protect and restore the natural environment, preserve rural character, encourage viable agricultural use of land resources, and provide opportunities for ecologically sensitive public enjoyment and education. The District will accomplish this mission as a cooperative endeavor with public agencies, non-profit organizations, and individuals with similar goals."

In the spirit of the Mission Statement, in September 2006 Midpen formulated Goals, Policies, and Implementation Measures for potential areas of grazing land within the District

GOAL:

Manage District land with livestock grazing that is compatible with public access, to maintain and enhance the diversity of native plant and animal communities, manage vegetation fuel for fire protection, sustain the local agricultural economy, and preserve and foster appreciation for the region's rural agricultural heritage.

GRAZING MANAGEMENT POLICIES:

Policy GM-1: Ensure that grazing is compatible with and supports wildlife and wildlife habitats.

Policy GM-2: Provide necessary infrastructure to support and improve grazing management where appropriate.

Policy GM-3: Monitor environmental response to grazing on District lands.

Policy GM-4: Utilize different livestock species to accomplish vegetation management objectives.

Policy GM-5: Preserve and foster existing and potential grazing operations to help sustain the local agricultural economy.


Policy GM-6: Provide information to the public about the region's rural agricultural heritage.

Policy GM-7: Provide public access in a manner that minimizes impacts on the grazing operation.

Policy GM-8: Grazing operations on District lands in the Coastside Protection Area will be managed in accordance with the policies established in the Service Plan for the San Mateo County Coastal Annexation Area.



EXHIBIT – B



V. Existing Resources and Infrastructure:

Agricultural resources include elements necessary to continue agricultural uses on the Toto Ranch. These include appropriate soils, sufficient water, adequate forage, and supporting infrastructure. These agricultural resources are described below to establish the fact that the Toto Ranch is capable, at a minimum, of sustaining the current agricultural uses and that it has the potential to sustain additional agricultural uses supported by the agricultural resources.

LAND USE INFORMATION

HISTORIC LAND USE:

The property was originally owned by Alexander Gordon, a State assembly member, who in 1872 built Gordon's Chute near the mouth of Tunitas Creek, an ill-fated ramp for sliding farm goods from the top of the cliffs to ships anchored in the rolling surf. Gordon's Chute was blown away in a heavy storm in 1885 ^[2]. The Machado family, originally from Portugal, settled the property in the late 1800s, and ranched on the property for close to 100 years. The property was historically grazed with Holstein dairy cows and many of the hillsides and ridgetops were dryland farmed with hay and oats ^[2]. The Scutchfield family acquired the Ranch in the late 1970s and cattle grazing continued while farming operations ceased ^[3]. In 2008, POST purchased the property from the Scutchfield family. Midpen purchased the property from POST in 2012 and continued grazing operations with the existing residential/grazing tenant, Erik and Doniga Markegard. The property has been continuously grazed for over 120 years.

CURRENT LAND USE:

The Markegard family leases the property and resides on the Ranch (separate residential lease). The Ranch is currently used primarily for grass-fed beef cattle production on the productive and accessible grasslands. The tenant also produces a number of other agricultural commodities including pasture pork, chickens, eggs, goats, lambs, and turkeys that are marketed through a Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) purchasing program, at local farmer's markets, restaurants and through their website ^[4]. A small number of dairy cows are maintained for milk production as part of a cooperative Herd Share arrangement. The tenant hosts agricultural workshops, ranch dinners and field days on the Ranch throughout the year by permit only. In addition to cattle, a number of horses, sheep, donkeys and llamas/alpacas/emus are kept on the property and currently graze the grasslands outside of the Agricultural Lease area.

Current land uses on the ranch include:

- Livestock grazing (Beef Cattle and/or sheep with Seasonal Horse use) - Approximately 974 acres
- Residential and Agricultural Lease Area (House, barns, corrals, and flight pens) – Approximately 11.5 acres

SURROUNDING LAND USE:

The surrounding rural landscape is dominated by established ranches used primarily for beef cattle production and row crop production. The region has undergone a recent increase in poultry, grass-fed meat, and egg production as well as Agricultural Lease creamery products. The highly productive flats in the region, where farmable, are ideal for certain vegetable crops, hay, and cut flower production.

The adjacent properties to the east and south of Toto Ranch are primarily grazed rangeland with associated residential/farm buildings. The land that borders the Ranch to the north includes a number of small residential lots and small farm fields in addition to grazed rangelands. State Highway-1 and the Pacific Ocean border the Ranch to the west.

In a regional context, for San Mateo County, agricultural production continues to provide significant total gross revenue value of \$135,440,500 annually ^[5]. According to the San Mateo County Crop Report, livestock ranchers struggled with drought over the past several years resulting in an estimated decline of 22 percent in stocking rates; however, livestock numbers recovered well through 2016 posting a 14 percent increase over 2015.



Figure-1: Looking south over the Toto Ranch. Highway-1 and the Pacific Ocean to the right with surrounding rangelands to the south and east of the Ranch. Several small residential parcels neighbor Toto Ranch, located along the north side of Tunitas Creek. Note the heavily wooded, steep Dry Creek and Tunitas Creek riparian corridors (bottom of photo) that comprises much of the northern border of the Ranch. Photo Credit – POST.

IMPROVEMENTS

ELECTRICITY AND ACCESS EASEMENTS:

Electricity is provided to the Ranch headquarters by Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) via utility poles that cross the ranch, stemming from a main line on Tunitas Creek Road. Municipal water is not available on the Ranch.



Figure-2: Aerial view of the Agricultural Lease area and associated buildings including hay barn (bottom right), residence (center), metal-sided shop/barn (top left) and the livestock corral (top center).

SOIL DESCRIPTION

The Toto Ranch is comprised of fourteen (14) soil series types (USDA Soil Conservation Service, 1985) identified on the soils map produced by Midpen (Exhibit-D). Soil composition on the property varies delineated by slope, aspect, and elevation. The majority of the ranch (49 percent) is comprised of Tierra loam/Tierra clay loam in addition to Colma loam (27 percent). Gazos loam and Lobitos loam soils are found primarily within the riparian corridors and steep brush covered slopes above the riparian corridors on the Ranch. The remaining soils are present in a very limited capacity, primarily located within the Tunitas Creek riparian corridor along the extreme northern property boundary. Colma and Tunitas loams comprise the majority of the upland grassland and coastal scrub habitat areas suitable for livestock grazing on the Ranch. Steep, densely vegetated riparian corridors and canyons provide little palatable forage for grazing livestock, but can provide shaded areas for loafing, particularly on the fringe areas adjacent to the grazeable grassland and coastal scrub habitats.

The **Colma and Colma loam** soils series consists of deep, well drained soils that formed in material weathering from softly consolidated or weakly consolidated marine sediments. Colma soils are on the foothills and have slopes of 9 to 75 percent. The mean annual precipitation is about 27 inches ^[6]. Used mainly for range and watershed lands, small areas have been cleared and planted to hay/silage. Where not farmed, typical vegetation composition consists of coyote brush, Lupine, and poison oak, with an understory of annual grasses and forbs with a few perennial grasses ^[6]. Colma soils are well drained with medium to rapid runoff, suitable for year-round use by grazing livestock without impacting soil stability or creating soil compaction provided prescribed levels of forage are left on the ground.

The **Gazos loam** soil series consists of moderately deep to bedrock, well drained soils that formed in material weathered from sandstone and shale. Gazos soils are on hills and have slopes of 9 to 75 percent. The mean annual precipitation is about 22 inches ^[6]. Used mostly for livestock grazing, a few areas have been cultivated for growing small grains and hay. Where not cultivated, vegetation primarily consists of annual grasses and forbs with brush and some oak trees ^[6]. Gazos loam soils are well drained with high to very high runoff and moderately slow permeability making them suitable for year-round grazing by livestock. It is important to leave adequate levels of forage on the soil surface to protect soil integrity and minimize the risk of erosion.

The **Lobitos loam** soil series consists of moderately deep, well drained soils that formed on moderately hard sandstone and shale. Lobitos soils are on uplands and have slopes of 5 to 50 percent. The mean annual precipitation is about 30 inches ^[6]. Used mostly for pasture and rangeland, some areas have been cultivated to grow grain, hay, barley, and flax. Where not cultivated, vegetation primarily consists of annual grasses and forbs with some brush including coyote brush, cascara berry, and poison oak ^[6]. Lobitos loam soils are well drained with moderate to rapid runoff and moderately slow permeability making them suitable for year-round grazing by livestock. It is important to leave adequate levels of forage on the soil surface to protect soil integrity and minimize the risk of erosion.

The **Tierra** soil series consists of deep, moderately well drained soils that formed in alluvial materials from sedimentary rocks. Tierra soils are on dissected terraces and low hills and have slopes of 2 to 50 percent. The mean annual precipitation is about 18 inches ^[6]. Used for grazing, growing grains, and growing small grains, and small areas for growing a large number of crops, though many cultivated areas have been reverted to grass. Where not cultivated, vegetation composition is primarily annual grasses and forbs ^[6]. Tierra soils are moderately well drained with slow to rapid runoff and very slow permeability. Tierra soils are suited to year-round livestock grazing, though areas with notably slow permeability are susceptible to soil compaction and grazing should be delayed until soil is firm enough to withstand grazing pressure, typically summer and fall months.


The **Tunitas** soil series consists of very deep, moderately well drained soils. They have formed from fine textured alluvium from mixed basic igneous and sedimentary rock sources. Tunitas soils are on nearly level to moderately steep fan terraces and alluvial fans. The mean annual precipitation is about 25 inches ^[6]. Most bodies of this soil class are cultivated, primarily used to grow crops including artichokes Brussels sprouts, flax, small grains, and grain hay. Some sites are used as irrigated pasture for grazing ^[6]. Tunitas soils are moderately well drained with slow to medium runoff and slow permeability. Areas often receive excess water by runoff from surrounding lands and lower lying areas may have temporary high water table during rainy seasons (winter). These soils are very limited on the Ranch but grazing should occur during dry summer months when soils are firm enough to withstand grazing pressure.

The **Watsonville** soil series consists of deep, somewhat poorly drained soils that formed in alluvium. Watsonville soils are on old coastal terraces and valleys and have slopes of 0 to 50 percent. The mean annual precipitation is about 28 inches ^[6]. Watsonville soils are commonly used as irrigated pasture and to grow field crops, row crops, and specialty crops such as strawberries and Brussels sprouts. Where not cultivated, vegetation typically consists of annual grasses, forbs, and a few coastal chaparral plants ^[6]. These soils are somewhat poorly drained because perched water tables occur during periods of heavy water applications. Slow to rapid runoff and very slow permeability make Watsonville soils very susceptible to soil compaction. Livestock grazing should be delayed until dry summer months when soils are firm enough to withstand grazing pressure.

On steeper, more erosion-prone slopes and riparian corridors susceptible to soil compaction, grazing should be delayed until soil is firm enough to withstand grazing pressure without impacting soil stability. Livestock grazing should be managed to protect the soil from erosion as loss of the surface layer can severely decrease forage productivity. The risk of erosion can be reduced by maintaining adequate plant cover and allowing sufficient residual dry matter (RDM) to remain on the soil surface at the conclusion of the grazing season.

Table-1: Delineation of soil types per acre and percent on the Toto Ranch.

SOIL SURVEY DATA – TOTO RANCH, SAN MATEO COUNTY, CA			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
CIC2	Colma loam, sloping, eroded	27.6	2.9
CID2	Colma loam, moderately steep, eroded	115.9	12.2
CIE2	Colma loam, steep, eroded	61.1	6.4
ClF2	Colma loam, very steep, eroded	23.0	2.4
CmF3	Colma sandy loam, steep and very steep, severely eroded	20.7	2.2
GbF2	Gazos loam, very steep, eroded	58.5	6.1
GsE2	Gazos and Lobitos stony loams, steep, eroded	1.9	0.2
GsF2	Gazos and Lobitos stony loams, very steep, eroded	21.0	2.1
LIE2	Lobitos loam, steep, eroded	11.8	1.2
LIF2	Lobitos loam, very steep, eroded	80.7	8.5
Ma	Mixed alluvial land	12.5	1.3
Rb	Rough broken land	45.7	4.8
SkB	Soquel loam, gently sloping	0.6	0.1
Ta	Terrace escarpments	0.6	0.1
TcD2	Tierra clay loam, moderately steep, eroded	7.8	0.8
TeC2	Tierra loam, sloping, eroded	7.8	0.8
TeD2	Tierra loam, moderately steep, eroded	19.0	2.0
TeE2	Tierra loam, steep, eroded	256.2	26.9
TeE3	Tierra loam, steep, severely eroded	175.9	18.5
TxB	Tunitas loam, gently sloping	4.5	4.7
WmD2	Watsonville loam, moderately steep, eroded	0.9	0.1
WmE3	Watsonville loam, moderately steep and steep severely eroded	2.7	2.8
Totals for Area of Interest (AOI)		952.3	100.0%



While the District strives to use the best available digital data, these data do not represent a legal survey and are merely a graphic illustration of geographic features.

VEGETATION DESCRIPTION

Overall existing rangeland conditions on the grazed pastureland on the Toto Ranch range from poor to excellent, depending on the forage type, presence of invasive vegetation, and RDM. Infestations of coyote brush and invasive thistles have historically reduced the quality of range conditions by outcompeting desirable vegetation and acting as a barrier to shade out seedlings of desirable vegetation on much of the Ranch. The majority of the ranch is comprised of rolling open grasslands/coastal scrub, heavily influenced by coyote brush encroachment. The steep drainages and riparian corridors are comprised of dense brush/woody vegetation and willows. A large stand of eucalyptus trees are present just east and south of the Agricultural Lease area. Overall, the vegetation diversity and level of desirable vegetation on the Ranch is excellent and supports an abundant, diverse wildlife population while maintaining a highly productive agricultural value.

RANGELAND PASTURES:

A combination of annual grassland and coastal scrub habitat covers approximately 60-65 percent of the Ranch comprised of a diverse vegetation composition, ranging from 100 percent annual grassland to areas heavily influenced by coyote brush. The vast majority of the grassland forage species are introduced non-native palatable grasses and low forbs that are desirable for livestock grazing. Grassland and scrubland habitats are present on the ridge tops and gentle slopes throughout the Ranch. Upland slopes and ridge tops on the Ranch were historically dryland farmed but were returned to grazed pastureland during the 1970s. These areas are highly productive and relatively free of invasive thistles, except for sparse patches. Dense woody vegetation dominates many of the small drainages and steeper canyon lands within the grazed pasture. While these areas provide little palatable forage for livestock, they provide shaded locations for loafing, particularly along fringe areas adjacent to the grasslands. Vegetation diversity and overall forage production have historically been limited in the lower-lying portions of the ranch, dominated in many areas by dense brush and willows. Invasive plant control efforts by the landowner have reduced the presence and dispersal of invasive vegetation on the Ranch when compared to historic levels. In addition to invasive plant control, the tenant has mowed coyote brush for several years, increasing desirable forage in many of the front pastures between the Agricultural Lease and Highway-1. A comprehensive vegetation assessment was conducted on April 11, 2017, included as Attachment-C to this plan.



Figure-3: Exemplary upland habitat on the Toto Ranch comprised annual grasslands impacted by coyote brush encroachment. Many of the grassland/scrub habitat is comprised of about 60 percent annual grassland and 40 percent coyote brush.

RIPARIAN AND AQUATIC HABITAT:

A number of ephemeral streams originate on the Toto Ranch, flowing south to north into Dry Creek and Tunitas Creek. Tunitas and Dry Creeks are perennial streams that flow east to west along the north border of the Ranch. Vegetation types within the riparian corridors are very similar across the Ranch, comprised of dense woody vegetation including brush, willows, alders, and boxelder trees.

Two (2) perennial stockponds, one (1) seasonal stockpond, and several smaller seasonal catchments are present on the Ranch. In addition, a number of natural springs are located throughout the Ranch. Vegetation composition around the ponds is primarily annual grassland and coyote brush with the exception of the “Quarry Pond” which is surrounded by willows. Aquatic habitat adjacent to and within the stockponds consists of sedges, rushes, and a variety of other aquatic species. Stockponds and catchments located in the grasslands tend to have invasive thistles around them. A list of riparian and aquatic vegetation species observed during the April 2017 site visit are listed in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1 – Riparian and aquatic vegetation observed during an April 2017 site visit includes:

<u>RIPARIAN/AQUATIC VEGETATION (OBSERVED) – April 2017</u>	
<u>Latin Name</u>	<u>Common Name</u>
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Boxelder
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	White alder
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	Red alder
<i>Azola filiculoides</i>	Water fern
<i>Carex bolanderi</i>	Bolander’s sedge
<i>Carex spp.</i>	Sedges
<i>Eleocharis macrostachya</i>	Pale spikerush
<i>Hydrocotyle ranunculoides</i>	Water pennywort
<i>Juncus bufonius</i>	Toad rush
<i>Juncus effuses</i>	Soft rush
<i>Juncus patens</i>	Spreading rush
<i>Juncus phaeocephalus</i>	Brown-headed rush
<i>Juncus spp.</i>	Rushes
<i>Juncus xiphioides</i>	Irisleaf rush
<i>Luzula comosa</i>	Pacific woodrush
<i>Nasturtium officinale</i>	Watercress
<i>Oenanthe sarmentosa</i>	Water parsley
<i>Typha latifolia</i>	Cattails
<i>Rubus armeniacus</i>	Himalayan blackberry
<i>Rumex crispus</i>	Curly dock
<i>Salix spp.</i>	Willows

INVASIVE VEGETATION:

Invasive vegetation has historically impacted the growth of desirable vegetation including forage for grazing livestock. During an April 2017 site visit, a few scattered individual woolly distaff (*Carthamus lanatus*) plants were identified in the flats near the Agricultural Lease. Milk thistle (*Silybum marianum*), Italian thistle (*Carduus pycnocephalus*) and bull thistle (*Cirsium vulgare*) are found in scattered locations across the Ranch in low densities, though these thistles tend to vary in abundance annually based on precipitation patterns but typically don't dominate grasslands under moderate grazing conditions in San Mateo County.

Onion grass (*Romulea rosea*) is found growing throughout the annual grasslands across many parts of the Ranch. Onion grass occurrences in San Mateo County are becoming more common but have not yet been rated by the California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC). Onion grass is a small, erect perennial herb with bulbous roots and produces a small purple flower in the spring (Figure-4). Onion grass is difficult for grazing animals to digest and if consumed in large quantities, can create a fiber block in cattle.

Invasive plants found in the riparian corridor are primarily limited to fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*), Himalayan blackberries (*Rubus armeniacus*), and poison hemlock (*Conium maculatum*). French broom (*Genista monspessulana*), a woody perennial, has become established in portions of Tunitas and Dry Creek. French broom is classified as a "High" concern by Cal-IPC as it spreads rapidly and will outcompete desirable vegetation. See Invasive Weed Control in Section VII for management recommendations.

Table 2.2 – Cal-IPC Rate Invasive plant species list.

INVASIVE VEGETATION (OBSERVED) – April 2017

<u>Latin Name</u>	<u>Common Name</u>	<u>Cal-IPC Rating</u>
<i>Carduus pycnocephalus</i>	Italian Thistle	Moderate
<i>Carthamus lanatus</i>	Woolly distaff thistle	Moderate (alert)
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	Bull Thistle	Moderate
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	Poison hemlock	Moderate
<i>Cortaderia jubata</i>	Purple pampas grass	High
<i>Delairea odorata</i>	Cape Ivy	High
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	Fennel	High
<i>Genista monspessulana</i>	French Broom	High
<i>Helminthotheca ecioides</i>	Bristly Ox-tongue	Limited
<i>Silybum marianum</i>	Milk Thistle	Limited

Table 2.3 – Rangeland plants not desirable for livestock grazing.

INVASIVE VEGETATION (OBSERVED) – April 2017

<u>Latin Name</u>	<u>Common Name</u>	<u>Cal-IPC Rating</u>
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i>	Coyote brush	Not Rated
<i>Romulea rosea</i>	Onion Grass	Not Rated
<i>Solanum furcatum</i>	Forked nightshade	Not Rated
<i>Solanum douglasii</i>	Greenspot nightshade	Not Rated
<i>Xanthium spinosum</i>	Spiny cocklebur	Not Rated



Figure-4: Onion grass (*Romulea rosea*) found on the Toto Ranch. Note the small purple flower and bulbous root balls.

WATER SOURCES

The Toto Ranch has historically lacked ample water supply, particularly under drought conditions, to provide adequate residential and stock water year round. Livestock water within the Agricultural Lease and pastureland adjacent to the Agricultural Lease is provided through a number of water troughs. The water troughs are supplied via two (2) wells on the ridge near the south property boundary; one well is pumped via a solar pump and the second via a windmill pump (Figure-5). In addition to the developed water systems, a network of stockponds and seasonal catchments provide stock water throughout the Ranch. A number of natural springs are present but not currently developed to provide stock water. Livestock do not have access to Tunitas Creek or Dry Creek and creeks are not considered viable water sources for the livestock operation.

Residential water for the Agricultural Lease area is provided via a natural spring located on the ridge to the south of the Agricultural Lease. Refer to Toto Ranch Water Infrastructure Map (Exhibit-E).

Figure-5: Windmill powered well located on the ridge along the southern property boundary. Well water is pumped into storage tanks and then flows via gravity to the Agricultural Lease area where water is provided to livestock in a number of water troughs.





WILDLIFE

Wildlife is abundant throughout the Toto Ranch. The riparian corridors, particularly around the stockponds, provide habitat for various aquatic and amphibian species, including the federally listed California red-legged frog (CRLF). Black tailed deer, coyote, bobcats, badgers and many other animals are present on the Ranch.

Special Status Species¹

The California Natural Diversity Database lists a number of special status wildlife species found within the Tunitas Creek watershed, most of which are found in the lower reaches and tidal areas. A large group of Midpen staff and specialized biologists surveyed Toto Ranch in April of 2017 and developed a comprehensive list of wildlife species observed on the Ranch. Special status wildlife species potentially found in the upper portions of the watershed, and found either historically or currently on the Toto Ranch include:

A. Animals

AMPHIBIANS/REPTILES:

Rana draytonii, California red-legged frog - Federal threatened, CA species of special concern

Thamnophis sirtalis tetratania, San Francisco garter snake – and State Federal endangered

BIRDS:

Athene cunicularia, Burrowing owl – CA species of special concern

Circus cyaneus, Northern Harrier – CA species of special concern

Geothlypis trichas sinuosa, San Francisco common yellowthroat – USFW bird of conservation concern & CA species of special concern

Selasphorus sasin, Allen’s Hummingbird - USFW bird of conservation concern

FISH:

Oncorhynchus kisutch, Coho Salmon - Federal endangered & State endangered

Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus, Steelhead Trout – Federal threatened

MAMMMALS:

Neotoma fuscipes annectens, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat – CA species of special concern

Taxidea taxus, American badger – CA species of special concern

B. Plants

Plagiobothrys chorisianus var. chorisianus, Choris’ popcorn flower – CNPS Rank 1B.2 & Midpen BMP

¹ This information is used for planning purposes only

EXISTING AGRICULTURAL INFRASTRUCTURE

➤ Agricultural Buildings

Agricultural buildings located on the Ranch include a 4,390 ft.² metal-sided barn/shop with utilities and a 1,325 ft.² hay barn. The metal-sided barn/shop/walk-in freezers for meat storage building is located in the main yard, includes running water, power, concrete floor, and bathroom and is in good condition. The hay barn is located just east of the residence and is in fair condition structurally; however, the roof is in poor condition.

➤ Corrals and Congregation Areas

A set of wood livestock corrals are located within the Agricultural Lease area used for processing and shipping/receiving livestock. The corrals are old but in fair condition and function adequately for the existing livestock operation on the Ranch. The corral is accessible year-round by truck/trailer and semi-trucks via an all-weather gravel road.



Figure-6: Wooden livestock corral with metal-sided barn/shop building (right). Small pastures or flight pens are ideal for running sheep, goats and other small livestock (foreground).

➤ Water Sources

Water is provided to livestock primarily through a number of plastic, concrete, and galvanized water troughs located within the Agricultural Lease area and nearby pastures. All water troughs should be equipped with wildlife escape ramps to prevent entrapment of wildlife. Water is primarily supplied by a windmill/well and solar pump/well. Water is collected in one 2,500 gallon and two (2) 5,000-gallon water storage tanks near the wells and flows via gravity to the Agricultural Lease before distribution to the troughs in/around the Agricultural Lease.

A network of fourteen (14) stockponds and seasonal water catchments provide stock water throughout the remainder of the Ranch, though water is often seasonal, particularly under drought conditions. Livestock tend to rely primarily on trough water around the Agricultural Lease during late summer and fall months. Increased water distribution and placement of new water troughs would increase livestock distribution and forage utilization in more remote pastures. Residential water is provided via a natural spring above the residence, collected in a 5,000-gallon water storage tank, and then pumped to the house.



Figure-7: A plastic water trough in the pasture south of the residence. Note the invasive thistle and poison hemlock growing around the trough. These plastic troughs are designed to be temporary and should be replaced with galvanized or concrete troughs in grazed pastures. A wildlife escape ramp is missing from this trough and should be installed to protect wildlife that may fall into the water.

➤ Roads

State Route-1 (Cabrillo Highway), a well-traveled, paved State Highway delineates the west property boundary of the Ranch for approximately 1.2 miles. The main entrance to the Ranch originates off State Route-1, comprised of a paved/gravel road. The driveway, part of historic Star Hill Road, continues east for approximately 3/4 of a mile to the Agricultural Lease area. The driveway, where paved is in good condition; however, the gravel sections are in poor condition with numerous large potholes. The driveway receives heavy year-round use by the residential/grazing tenant with added impacts from the many field day events the tenant hosts. While the gravel section of the driveway is in poor condition, when properly maintained, the driveway poses no risk to downstream water quality.

In general, roads on the Ranch are in fair to good condition, though minimally maintained. Most Ranch roads are minimally graded with native vegetation ground cover present, often times delineated by vehicle tracks in the vegetation from continued use by the tenant. While many of the roads are stable and in good condition, several areas are impacted by active gullying/rutting from surface water flow. Winter rains will continue to cause damage to the road surface and potentially transport sediment into local streams. In addition, a number of roads, particularly

along the back half of the Ranch, are overgrown with brush creating hazardous access conditions for vehicles and pose a fire risk from vehicle ignition sources during hot dry conditions.

A ranch road beginning in the Agricultural Lease and looping around to the back half of the Ranch is impassible due to a large seep/spring creating a mud bog. The road was historically accessible but has not been used in several years. If road repairs are undertaken in this location, (installation of culvert or ford crossing, road reroute, or other) engineering oversight should ensure correct sizing and placement of erosion control features, to allow access and protection of wetland features associated with the spring.



Figure-8: Gully/rutting activity along an insloped road just south of the Agricultural Lease. Large gully caused by surface water flow during winter storm events. Road should be re-graded and water diversion points installed to relieve surface flow to protect the integrity of the road.

➤ Fencing


Toto Ranch is secured on all borders by a combination of “New Zealand style” smooth wire fencing and natural barriers. Interior pasture fencing currently divides the ranch into five (5) main pastures with numerous additional small pastures around the Agricultural Lease. Interior pasture fencing is comprised of “New Zealand style” fencing in varying condition, barbed wire fence, and natural barriers. Maintenance of the “New Zealand style” fencing is ongoing as fences are relatively old and the smooth wire used for the fencing tends to break often when compared to traditional barbed wire fencing. Landowner has installed new barbed wire fencing along some pasture boundaries. Natural slope, rock, and brush barriers have been used historically to contain cattle in many places on the Ranch; however, the brush barriers would be ineffective if wildfire should burn boundaries of the grassland areas.

The grazing tenant installed a Management Intensive Grazing (MIG) system consisting of approximately 60 small grazing paddocks, constructed of temporary electric fencing. The MIG, located west of the Agricultural Lease, is designed for high-intensity, short duration grazing as cattle are regularly rotated between paddocks during the “green” growing season, typically January through June. No notable resource management benefits have been derived through use of the MIG system.



Figure-9: Sample of New Zealand style smooth wire fencing on the Toto Ranch. The high tinsel smooth wire is susceptible to damage and often breaks. Most fences on the ranch are older and in varying condition.

EXHIBIT-F (Existing Infrastructure Map)



While the District strives to use the best available digital data, these data do not represent a legal survey and are merely a graphic illustration of geographic features.

RANGELAND CONDITION

The Toto Ranch is comprised of approximately 60 percent annual grasslands and/or grassland-coastal scrub, which have historically been farmed, and more recently grazed with cattle. Grazed rangeland pastures on the ranch total approximately 940 acres excluding the Agricultural Lease area. Of the 940 acres of pastureland, approximately 546 acres are comprised of annual grassland or a coastal scrub/grassland mix that provide palatable forage for livestock.

The current grazing tenant, Erik and Doniga Markegard dba Markegard Family Grass-Fed LLC, has resided upon and leased the grazing rights on Toto Ranch since the late 1980s while under private ownership and continuing under current ownership by Midpen. Markegard Family Grass-fed currently grazes the rangeland pastures on Toto Ranch with beef cattle, a combination of stocker cattle and cow/calf pairs raised primarily to market as grass-fed beef.

Current forage conditions on the Ranch appear good with abundant palatable forage available for livestock, with an even mix of dry standing forage and emerging green vegetation. Forage conditions and residual dry matter (RDM) on the property indicate an appropriate stocking rate in relation to current forage production ^[7]. Livestock distribution and overall forage utilization vary based on available stockwater. Natural water sources have been limited by drought conditions over the past four years and livestock have primarily relied on water sources near the Agricultural Lease area. As a result, livestock distribution and overall forage utilization have been lower than expected, especially on the eastern half of the Ranch. The current rotational grazing regime provides good control of livestock distribution and forage utilization across the Ranch provided stockwater is available.

➤ Forage Quality

Forage quality in addition to forage quantity (annual production) play a key role in determining carrying capacity for a pasture and for the entire ranch. Forage quality as well as forage production vary somewhat across the Ranch based on soil type, topography, aspect, invasive vegetation, and water. In general, forage quality is good with a high abundance of palatable, nutritious grasses and forbs. Forage quality in some areas is negatively impacted by the presence of invasive vegetation. Several of the steeper, forested/brushy slopes provide little to no palatable forage for livestock.

Mineral and nutrient supplements are currently provided to livestock on the ranch to maximize productivity and maintain livestock health, though it is not known if mineral and/or nutrient supplements are necessary to account for potential nutrient deficiencies in native forage. A thorough nutrient analysis may be performed on forage samples from the Ranch, if desired by the livestock operator, to more accurately determine forage nutrient quality and livestock supplement requirements.

➤ Forage Production

Palatable forage production ranges from fair to excellent across the Ranch excluding the steeper, wooded slopes and dense brushy canyons. Forage production may be slightly lower around rocky outcroppings or eroded slopes, as the soil tends to be shallow, which can limit rooting and nutrient/water uptake by plants. Palatable forage production can be impacted by the presence of invasive vegetation such as distaff thistle and predominantly coyote brush, which outcompete desirable vegetation, and is evident on many sites throughout the Ranch. Highly palatable annual grasses and low growing forbs comprise the majority of vegetation available for grazing livestock. Based on the available standing forage observed during an April 2017 site visit, the current stocking rate is adequate when compared to annual forage production on the Ranch ^[7], though the stocking rate tends to vary seasonally.

Estimated annual forage production for the Toto Ranch is determined through estimates based on soil class provided in the San Mateo County Soil Survey (USDA, 1985). Non-forage producing areas of the Ranch, including the developed Agricultural Lease, stockponds, forested slopes, and dense brushy canyons have been deducted from the total grassland acres utilized to calculate available dry weight forage production shown in Table-6. Dry weight forage production estimates per soil class are shown in Table-3:

Table-3: Total forage production estimates per soil class provided by NRCS.

Soil Map Unit		Approx. Acres	Total Dry Weight Forage Production (lbs./acre)		
			Unfavorable Year	Normal	Favorable Year
CIC2-D2-E2	Colma loam	246	1,800	2,500	3,000
GbF2	Gazos loam	57	1,000	1,500	1,800
GsF2	Gazos-Lobitos stony loam	23	1,000	1,500	1,800
LIF2	Lobitos loam	96	1,500	2,250	2,700
Ma	Mixed Alluvial Land - stony	11	1,000	1,500	1,800
Rb	Rough Broken Land - rocky	46	1,500	2,250	2,700
Ta	Terrace Escarpments – sandy	2	1,000	1,500	1,800
TeD2-E2-E3	Tierra loam	439	2,000	2,500	3,500
TxA	Tunitas loam	4	1,000	1,500	1,800
WmA	Watsonville loam	4	1,000	1,500	1,800
Total Grazed Acres		952			

VI. Capacity for Conducting Agricultural Uses

A capacity assessment of agricultural uses on the Toto Ranch has been approximated by reviewing both current and historic agricultural uses and other factors. Information provided in the following section establishes a basis for determining potential levels of agricultural uses on the property by quantifying the carrying capacity based on existing infrastructure, forage production, soil quality, water availability, and space while protecting ecological resources.

GRAZING CAPACITY ESTIMATE

Rangeland livestock production is the primary agricultural use on the Toto Ranch in terms of acres in production. Forage production estimates are utilized to determine livestock carrying capacity and an estimated range of stocking rates. Proposed carrying capacity estimates for the Ranch are established using forage production estimates based on soil class units derived from the San Mateo County Soil Survey ^[8].

Table-4: Animal Unit Equivalents.

Animal Unit Equivalents	
Animal Kind & Class	Animal Unit Equivalent
Cow, dry	1.00
Cow, with calf	1.00
Bull, mature	1.50
Horse	1.25
Replacement Heifer (400-700 lbs.)	0.50
Replacement Heifer (700-1,000 lbs.)	0.75
Sheep, mature	0.25
Lamb, 1 year old	0.15

¹ An animal unit month (AUM) is the amount of dry forage consumed by one animal unit in one month (assuming consumption of dry weight forage equal to 3.3% of body weight), roughly equivalent to 1,020 pounds.

Table-5 depicts available forage, per the Soil Survey descriptions, for ‘favorable’, ‘normal’, and ‘unfavorable’ production years. ‘Available forage’ is calculated by deducting the RDM desired at the end of the grazing season (average of 1,000 lbs. per acre) from the total forage production. Based on available forage on the currently grazed pasture area of the Ranch, leaving an average of 1,000 pounds of RDM, the estimated carrying capacity ranges from 957.6 AUMs in a favorable year to 365.4 AUMs in an unfavorable year with an average carrying capacity of 632.0 AUMs in normal production years (Table-6).

- Favorable Production Year:
957.6 AUMs = Approximately 80 cows year-round or 320 ewes year-round.
- Average Production Year:
632.0 AUMs = Approximately 53 cows year-round or 212 ewes year-round.
- Unfavorable Production Year:
365.4 AUMs = Approximately 31 cows year-round or 124 ewes year-round.

Table-5: Available dry-weight forage for grazing livestock (currently grazed pastures) derived from NRCS Soil Survey data. Calculations assume leaving an average of 1,000 pounds per acre of RDM and 10% forage loss due to natural conditions such as wind, trampling, etc. Acreage has been deducted for the farmstead area and dense brush/wooded areas that provide little to no palatable forage (393.5 acres).

Soil Map Unit		Approx. Acres	Available Dry Weight Forage Production (lbs./acre)		
			Unfavorable Year	Normal	Favorable Year
CIC2-D2-E2	Colma loam	146	800	1,500	2,000
GbF2	Gazos loam	0	0	0	0
GsF2	Gazos-Lobitos stony loam	0	0	0	0
LIF2	Lobitos loam	76	500	1,250	1,700
Ma	Mixed Alluvial Land - stony	0	0	0	0
Rb	Rough Broken Land - rocky	46	500	1,250	1,700
Ta	Terrace Escarpments – sandy	0	0	0	0
TeD2-E2-E3	Tierra loam	279	1,000	1,500	2,500
TxA	Tunitas loam	0	0	0	0
WmA	Watsonville loam	0	0	0	0
Total Grazed Acres		547			

Table-6: Estimated carrying capacity for Toto Ranch based on calculated available forage production on grazeable acres.

Soil Map Unit	Approximate Grassland Acres	Estimated Carrying Capacity (Animal Unit Months)		
		Unfavorable Year	Normal	Favorable Year
CIC2-D2-E2	146.0	93.4	175.2	233.6
LIF2	76.0	30.4	76.0	103.4
Rb	46.0	18.4	46.0	62.6
TeD2-E2-E3	279.0	223.2	334.8	558.0
TOTAL	547.0	365.4	632.0	957.6
Year-round Stocking Rate in Animal Units (AUs) (AUMs ÷ 12 months)		30.5	52.7	79.8

Stocking rates should be adjusted downward or upward annually depending on precipitation (distribution and quantity) and annual forage production. Standing forage will determine pasture rotation, at the livestock operator's discretion, provided they remain within the prescribed forage standards. At no time should there be significant areas of bare soil void of vegetation cover present in the grazed pastures. A minimum of two to three inches of forage should be left as ground cover during both the growing season and dry summer and fall months. Table-6 details the estimated carrying capacity for the Ranch, in AUMs and AUs, as derived from forage production data provided in the NRCS Soil Survey. The estimated carrying capacity for the Ranch is approximately comparable to historic stocking levels.

Coyote brush is well established in many of the steeper canyons and has expanded into the ridgetops and open grassland areas over time. Coyote brush encroachment in the grasslands has reduced forage production by 50 to 80 percent in many pastures. The landowner has attempted mechanical control of the coyote brush by mowing, primarily in the front pastures between the Agricultural Lease and State Route-1. The mowing has reduced the size of the individual plants but has done little to reduce the quantity and percent cover of the coyote brush. A coyote brush encroachment management plan should be developed for the Ranch. Future brush control efforts, including chemical control, should be considered following the recommendations in the coyote brush management plan to maintain the estimated carrying capacity.

DAIRY CAPACITY

The current tenant maintains a small number of dairy cows that are used for milk production as part of a cooperative Herd Share program. A large-scale dairy operation has never been a part of operations on the Toto Ranch and adequate infrastructure including loafing barn, suitable milk parlor, and wastewater treatment infrastructure, are not currently available. Instating a dairy operation on the Ranch is not recommended based on infrastructure requirements, associated economic constraints, and potential ecological/water quality impacts.

ADDITIONAL LIVESTOCK, EQUINE, AND POULTRY

The Ranch is currently used primarily for grass-fed beef cattle production on the productive and accessible grasslands. The tenant also produces a number of other agricultural commodities including pasture pork, chickens, eggs, goats, lambs, and turkeys that are marketed through a Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) purchasing program ^[4]. In addition to cattle, a number of horses and llamas/alpacas/emus are kept on the property and currently graze the grasslands outside of the Agricultural Lease area. A number of small pens, flight pens, coops and additional infrastructure are currently established within the Agricultural Lease area to support the production of small livestock and poultry. Tenant has experienced issues of predation on small livestock by coyotes and mountain lions in the past. Small livestock including pasture pigs, chickens, goats, turkeys, and llamas should be restricted to the designated Agricultural Lease area and associated small pastures. Pasture raised pigs create a large ground disturbance prone to

erosion and promote the growth of invasive thistles. Pigs should be restricted to flat areas in the Agricultural Lease.

Seven (7) working ranch horses, used as part of the grazing operation, may be kept on the property. Breeding, training, raising and selling horses (Horse Operations) are not considered agricultural uses and are not recommended on the Toto Ranch. Boarding outside horses should be prohibited. Working ranch horses will be permitted to graze in Pastures #1, #2, and #3 (front pastures) during the dry months, typically from May-October.

FIELD CROP/ORCHARD PRODUCTION

Portions of the Toto Ranch, primarily ridge tops, were historically farmed for silage/grain crops during the early to mid-1900s but have not been farmed since that time. The landowner does not plan to implement a large-scale cultivated farming operation on the Ranch and plans to continue use of the pastures for livestock grazing to foster and enhance habitat for wildlife. While Toto Ranch has suitable land for farming, sediment from the highly erodible soils on the Ranch would negatively impact downstream water quality and disrupt/destroy valuable wildlife habitat. Cultivated farming operations are not recommended in any capacity on the Ranch.

The tenant may grow vegetable crops and/or tree crops for personal use provided such production is performed within the Agricultural Lease area. Vegetable crops considered for planting by the tenant must be approved by Midpen's Natural Resources Department prior to planting and should not include any species considered by the California Invasive Species Council (<http://www.cal-ipc.org>) as "invasive", such as fennel. Trees imported for planting on the property must be pre-approved by Midpen's Natural Resources staff and soil associated with trees and potted plants must be tested for the presence of phytophthora prior to entering the property. Any and all soils associated with potted plants and/or trees that test positive for phytophthora are strictly prohibited on the property. Prior written consent may be required by Midpen and location of vegetable garden must be pre-approved by Midpen staff. Vegetable gardens and/or small orchards should be located in an area that will not impact downstream water quality and will not decrease the grazing capacity of the Ranch.

VII. Management Recommendations & Best Management Practices (BMPs):

The Toto Ranch has a long history of diversified agricultural production. The following management recommendations and Best Management Practices (BMPs) will help ensure the sustainability of agricultural production on the Ranch while protecting rangeland health, soil stability, water quality and the control of invasive vegetation to cooperatively conserve and enhance habitat for wildlife.

RANGELAND LIVESTOCK OPERATION

➤ Vegetation Prescriptions:

Leaving prescribed levels of residual dry matter (RDM) on the ground surface will provide a grassland seed crop for the following season, minimize the risk for soil erosion and sedimentation, protect water quality and reduce the presence of invasive vegetation. To protect soil stability, minimize the risk of sedimentation into local streams, and the spread of invasive vegetation, all grazed pastures on the ranch should meet the following RDM performance standards per average slope at the conclusion of the grazing season:

- 0-30% Slopes – An average minimum of two to three inches of forage – approximately an average of 800-1,000 pounds per acre per Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) and University of California Cooperative Extension (UCCE) definition.
- Greater than 30% Slopes – An average minimum of three to four inches of RDM – approximately an average of 1,000-1,200 pounds per acre per NRCS and UCCE definition.

At no time should there be significant areas of bare soil void of vegetation cover in any of the grazed pastures, particularly on steep upland slopes or areas adjacent to riparian corridors. A minimum of two to three inches of forage should be left as ground cover during both the growing season and dry summer and fall months.

➤ Grazing Season:

A light to moderate year-round rotational grazing regime is best suited for the Toto Ranch. Rotating livestock between pastures, particularly when grazing for a short duration, will require a greater commitment by the livestock manager in terms of time and monitoring, but will ultimately enhance biodiversity, aesthetics and overall forage production. Lack of available stockwater has historically limited grazing capacity during the late summer and fall months, particularly under drought conditions. If limited water availability during summer and fall months persists, Midpen may elect to implement a seasonal grazing regime or a partially seasonal grazing regime with higher stocking rates during winter and spring and reduced stocking during the summer and fall.

In a rotational grazing regime, standing forage will determine pasture rotation, at the livestock operator's discretion, provided they remain within the recommended forage standards. On steeper, more erosion prone slopes, and riparian pastures with softer soils, grazing should be delayed until soil is firm enough to withstand grazing pressure without impacting soil stability. Livestock grazing should be managed to protect the soil from erosion as loss of the surface layer can severely decrease long-term forage productivity.

Rotating a combination of sheep and cattle between pastures may enhance forage utilization and improve ecological benefits of grazing. Additionally, sheep require less water than cattle and may be a good grazing alternative during drought years or the dry summer months.

➤ Water Supply:

Livestock generally prefer the cleaner, cooler water in troughs. Developing alternative water sources will reduce dependence by livestock on stream channels/stockponds, minimizing potential impacts to aquatic vegetation and stream bank stability. In addition to stockponds, a number of galvanized, concrete, and plastic troughs are located within the Agricultural Lease and in pastures to the south and west of the Agricultural Lease, all fed via the wells on the ridge southwest of the Agricultural Lease.

A number of stock water troughs are located within the Agricultural Lease/corral and in pastures adjacent to the Agricultural Lease, including numerous plastic troughs. More durable, permanent concrete or galvanized troughs should replace the plastic troughs. Continue to monitor water infrastructure and complete maintenance and repairs as necessary. Wildlife escape ramps should be installed in all water troughs on the Ranch.

The livestock water system providing water to the Agricultural Lease and water troughs in pastures adjacent to the Agricultural Lease, including the wells, solar pump, windmill, pipes, and storage tank are in excellent condition. Despite the quality infrastructure, low water yield from existing wells often limits livestock grazing capacity during summer and fall months. Water from the wells should be used strictly for livestock water and shall not be used for pasture irrigation. Irrigating annual grasslands does not provide an ecological benefit.

A large, naturally occurring spring in Field-3 located along the loop road has made the road impassible. Developing the spring following District guidance for wildlife friendly spring development and installing a solar powered pump, storage tank, and water troughs will provide a valuable water supply to Field-3, which lacks sufficient stock water. Developing this water source will allow properly timed grazing to promote distribution of the Choris' popcorn flower, which is growing near the spring. Additionally, developing the spring and distributing water to troughs in the uplands of the pasture will reduce the use of the spring by livestock and minimize the risk of the Choris' popcorn flower being trampled/damaged by cattle. A thorough assessment of the site should be performed to determine potential construction impacts and hydrologic function of the site which may affect the nearby Choris' popcorn flower population. If determined that construction is feasible without impacting the population, continue subsequent monitoring of the Choris' popcorn flower population at this site to determine changes in density and distribution and amend management practices as necessary to enhance habitat for the population. See Proposed Infrastructure & Improvements Map for location of proposed water infrastructure.

➤ Stockponds:

Landowner should perform routine maintenance of stock ponds, including de-silting and vegetation management to maintain water storage capacity, habitat value, and protect downstream water bodies from sedimentation, as necessary. Maintaining the spillway and berm on the stockponds will preserve storage capacity, extend lifespan of stockponds, and enhance habitat for aquatic species. Stockponds on the ranch are in good condition with the exception of a series of small ponds located near the driveway in pastures west of the Agricultural Lease.

While these ponds are small, and often seasonal, they provide a valuable water source for livestock. Well developed stockponds providing valuable wildlife habitat and an important water source for wildlife and livestock should be prioritized for maintenance and repairs over small seasonal ponds and/or catchments.

An analysis of stockponds should be performed by the landowner to determine which stockponds should be considered for maintenance and repairs based on water rights, their habitat value, stockwater value, and risk to downstream water quality. Smaller stockponds or seasonal catchments may be decommissioned and restored to natural drainage to protect downstream water quality if determined to not provide significant habitat value or an important water source for livestock.

Perennial ponds, suitable seasonal stockponds (for which water rights exist) and their associated surrounding upland habitat should be enhanced to support California red-legged frog which currently occur on site, as well as a population of San Francisco garter snake which was documented as occurring along Tunitas Creek from Highway 1 to Dry Creek during research conducted by Barry from 1971-1983 (California Natural Diversity Database).

Pond management activities require a suite of regulatory agency approvals and should not be undertaken unless approved by the District Natural Resources Department.

➤ Supplemental Feed:

Proper placement of livestock watering facilities and supplemental feed/mineral stations will promote good livestock distribution. Supplemental feed (mineral tubs, salt blocks, etc.) should be placed on uplands and ridge tops away from water sources and riparian features. It is recommended that supplemental forage provided to livestock be certified as “Weed Free”. If certified weed free hay is not available, locally produced supplemental forage (hay) that is fed in pastures should be thoroughly inspected by Midpen Natural Resource Department staff prior to feeding to ensure it does not contain invasive vegetation that may spread seed into pastures. Supplemental feeding should not be used to extend the grazing season beyond the point at which the prescribed RDM levels are reached in the pastures.

➤ Fencing and Corrals:

Responsibilities for the maintenance of existing ranch infrastructure in good condition and make repairs or improvements as necessary are defined in the lease. Maintaining quality, functional infrastructure, including fencing and corrals, will increase the ease of livestock handling and effectiveness of rotating livestock between pastures as well controlling livestock access to sensitive riparian corridors. Providing safe facilities will provide a low-stress atmosphere for livestock and minimize risk of injury. Sheep grazing on the rangelands will require the installation of new mesh fence or the use of temporary electric fence to control access. Sheep should be confined to predator proof pens or paddocks during the night.

While most perimeter fence around Toto Ranch is “cow tight”, many sections of the New Zealand style smooth wire fence along the west and south property boundaries are old and failing. Sections and/or all of the western and southern boundary fences should be replaced with barbed wire cattle fence as existing fence fails. Use Midpen specifications for livestock fencing including galvanized wire, steel t-posts, and galvanized pipe braces.

Install a new section of barbed wire livestock fence southeast of the Agricultural Lease to split Field-3 into two separate pastures. Dividing this pasture will make management of the Choris’ popcorn flower more feasible by allowing grazing tenant to rotate cattle and properly time grazing. Additionally, by aligning the new fence to bisect pond TC-06, the pond can be used as a water source for both pastures and grazing can be timed to protect emergent vegetation for CRLF. The fence should extend from the south property line north to an existing cross-fence that runs east from the Agricultural Lease (approximately 3,100 linear feet). See Proposed Infrastructure and Improvements map for new fence alignment.

Old fencing that does not act as a pasture barrier may impede wildlife travel or injure wildlife/livestock. Old fencing should be removed and disposed of at a waste facility.

➤ Herd Health:

Maintaining a healthy, productive livestock herd is fundamental to profitability and sustainability. A herd health program that includes appropriate inoculations is recommended. De-worming livestock and providing additional nutrients will further increase productivity.

➤ Ranch Roads:


Ranch roads provide access for the grazing operation, infrastructure/ranch maintenance, restoration work, recreation, and emergency response. Landowner and/or grazing tenant should work to maintain ranch roads in good condition. Routine maintenance may include cleaning ditches and culverts, particularly during storm events, is important. Maintaining road grades, water diversions, and water bars during winter months to minimize water flow on road surfaces is important in reducing potential soil erosion and road damage. Mowing vegetation on road surfaces is recommended to provide a safe driving environment. Mowing, as opposed to grading, is recommended to leave a vegetation cover on the road surface that helps hold soil in place during storm events and reduce the risk of erosion and damage to ranch roads. Additionally, mowing roads will not create a soil disturbance that can lead to increased spread of invasive plant species.

Two sections of ranch road are in poor condition with large ruts creating hazardous driving conditions and causing continued sedimentation of downstream waterways. These sections of road should be repaired to prevent continued damage to the driving surface and protect water quality on the Ranch (Exhibit-G). Improvements may including rocking the ditch, re-grading the road bed, revegetating the road bed/ditch, installation of rolling dips/water diversions, and

installation of erosion control products such as straw wattles or silt fence. The main gravel driveway between State Route-1 and the Agricultural Lease has many large potholes that should be graded/filled to make access to the Ranch easier, but the driveway, when properly maintained, is currently not at risk of affecting natural resource values on the property.

Any road repairs that may discharge sediment into downstream watercourses may require permits from regulatory agencies prior to implementation. Proposed road work should first be approved by District natural resources staff to ensure regulatory compliance.

EXHIBIT-G – PROPOSED ROAD REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE



➤ Drought Preparedness

Agricultural production has historically provided a significant source of income for the Ranch and continues to be an important factor in maintaining its sustainability. Drought conditions can severely hinder the operational capacity and productivity of a ranch and can threaten long-term sustainability. Planning ahead to accommodate for a drought can alleviate some of the potential impacts such as lack of forage, lack of water, herd health, mineral deficiencies, and overall lack of production by livestock when droughts occur. The following management practices can help alleviate the impacts of drought:

- Maintain a clean, reliable water source for livestock and maintain an increased water storage capacity. The Ranch currently has a good water supply system in place, though water production is often limited during summer/fall months. Develop additional water sources such as springs and wells if feasible. If water yield increases, increase water storage by adding additional water storage tanks for livestock drinking water.
- Lower stocking rates to slightly below the recommended carrying capacity for the forage production year to provide a small surplus of forage to carry livestock through the fall until new, green forage is available. If drought conditions persist, lower stocking rates further to extend the grazing season and use of available forage.
- Implement a grass banking system. Save forage in a designated pasture by minimizing or eliminating grazing pressure during the late spring and summer. If available forage is depleted in grazed pastures, forage will be available in the grass bank pasture.
- Store supplemental forage, such as hay, that can be fed to livestock to supplement the natural forage during a drought.
- Provide livestock with mineral/protein supplements to increase forage utilization, herd health, and overall productivity.

PATHOGEN REDUCTION AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Livestock waste contains many microorganisms such as bacteria, viruses, and protozoa. Some of these microorganisms do not cause sickness in animals or humans, however, some are pathogens, meaning they are capable of causing disease in animals and/or humans. Pathogens can be transmitted to humans directly through contact with animals and animal waste or indirectly through contaminated water or food. Common pathogens responsible for health related ailments in humans include cryptosporidium, E. coli, Leptospira, and salmonella. The following BMPs should be implemented to help reduce the risk of animal waste contaminating water sources within and downstream of the Toto Ranch:

- Restrict livestock access to Tunitas Creek, Dry Creek, and perennial tributaries to both water courses to eliminate fecal deposits in the waterway.

- Maintain a natural vegetative buffer of no less than thirty (30) feet from the top of bank in Tunitas Creek, Dry Creek, and perennial tributaries. The vegetative buffer will act as a natural filter to trap potential pathogens before they reach the water body.
- Domestic swine have a high frequency of salmonella. Restrict pasture swine rearing to flat pens within the Agricultural Lease area and maintain a minimum 100 foot vegetative buffer between swine and perennial streams.
- Control runoff and leaching from stockpiled manure, confined livestock, and corral facilities. Maintain a 100 foot vegetative buffer between corrals/confined livestock pens and perennial streams.
- Fly and vermin control in livestock facilities may also reduce the spread and subsequent infection of other animals with pathogenic bacteria. Flies and bird fecal samples from cattle farms in the U.S. have tested positive for *E. coli*. Numerous studies indicate that *Salmonella* can survive for at least several days, and for as long as nine months, on insects and rodents, and for up to five months in rodent feces. Work to control flies and rodents in the Agricultural Lease area. Additionally, remove excess fecal waste from livestock including sheep, goats, horses, chickens, cattle, alpacas and swine within the confined livestock pens and corrals to reduce fly and insect presence.
- Provide off-stream livestock water sources such as water troughs to reduce the use of streams by cattle and other livestock for water.
- Implement a comprehensive livestock husbandry program including appropriate and timely inoculations and de-worming to minimize the risk of contracting and/or spreading disease to other livestock, humans, and wildlife.

SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES MANAGEMENT

California red-legged frog

Managing the intensity and timing of livestock grazing is important in managing waterways and upland habitat for the California red-legged frog (CRLF) as it has important consequences in terms of emergent vegetation and water quality important for breeding. Maintaining stockponds and controlling non-native predators are also important factors in protecting and enhancing habitat for CRLF. In general, livestock use of stockponds is beneficial for CRLF^[9]. Appropriate timing and grazing intensity around stockponds can produce positive ecological benefits on vegetation cover, nutrient levels, and turbidity conducive to CRLF breeding and subsistence. For more specific management recommendations, please reference Attachment-D to this plan^[10].

San Francisco garter snake

Management for California red-legged frog is also beneficial to San Francisco garter snake. Use of vegetation and/or fencing off portions of ponds to provide adequate escape habitat during the frog mating season (Dec to March) and San Francisco garter snake breeding season (March to June and September to October) and young frog emergence period (July to September) can be beneficial for both species.

Managing surrounding upland habitats for a mosaic of microhabitats (some open grassland, some brush, some downed woody debris areas, etc.) can also be beneficial for successful management of San Francisco garter snake.

Choris' Popcorn Flower

Choris' popcorn flower is an annual herb found in coastal prairie and coastal scrub habitats in San Mateo and portions of Santa Cruz County, listed by CNPS as "fairly endangered". The species is at risk from urban development, however, under rangeland conditions, primary threats to the species result from foot traffic/trampling and competition from non-native plants/annual grasses [11]. Choris' popcorn flower typically blooms from March-June [11] and will benefit from the reduction of annual/non-native vegetation through timed livestock grazing prior to bloom (December-February). Once flowers have dropped seed, livestock grazing may commence, typically in July. Continue to monitor for presence of the specie and note any changes in distribution and abundance of known populations. Adjust timing of grazing as necessary to promote reproduction. If trampling or vehicle traffic is noted to impact the Choris' popcorn flower, temporary fencing may be installed to protect populations.



Figure-10: Choris' popcorn flower is a rare, native annual herb found in multiple locations throughout the Toto Ranch. Special attention should be paid to avoid populations of Choris' popcorn flower when implementing projects and routine maintenance on the Ranch. Implement BMPs as necessary to protect existing populations.

Map of Sensitive Resources Redacted

INVASIVE PLANT CONTROL

Available forage production has been impacted by non-palatable invasive plant species resulting in reduced germination of desirable forage. Invasive plants decrease forage productivity, impact livestock health, impact wildlife habitat value, and create significant fiscal impacts to the landowner/lessee. Implementing an integrated approach to controlling pest plants is critical to the success of improving forage production and quality in grazed pastures. To prevent an increase in the current extent of invasive vegetation and avoid the introduction of new invasive species on the Ranch, the landowner should manage the ranch with the minimum goal of containing the weed infestation to its current extent and preventing the introduction of new invasive species. Invasive plant control methods must be consistent with the District's IPM program and all invasive species treatment must adhere to Midpen's Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPMP) and follow BMPs prescribed in the IPMP.

The following recommended practices are designed to reduce the presence of invasive vegetation, protect soil and water quality, and promote beneficial forage production.

- Adjust the stocking rate in order to maintain a minimum of two-three inches of beneficial, vegetation ground cover at all times.
- Application of a selective broadleaf herbicide in the spring can be an effective strategy for the control of purple starthistle and wooly distaff thistle, particularly when treating large infestations that are not easily controlled through manual methods. Follow-up inspection and manual removal of late germinating plants during the summer is can help control late germinating plants following initial herbicide treatment. A pest control recommendation must be issued from a Pest Control Advisor for any herbicide application on the property.
- Manually remove wooly distaff by digging or cutting out the plant at least five inches below the soil surface before they begin to flower. After flowering, the plants should be bagged and removed from site as seeds will continue to mature and ripen after the plant has been cut.
- Mowing can be used to manage invasive thistles, provided it is well timed and used on plants with a high branching pattern. Mowing at early growth stages results in increased light penetration and rapid regrowth of the weed. If plants branch from near the base, regrowth will occur from recovering branches. Repeated mowing of plants too early in their life cycles (rosette or bolting stages) or when branches are below the mowing height will not prevent seed production, as flowers will develop below the mower cutting height. Plants with a high branching pattern are easier to control, as recovery will be greatly reduced. Even plants with this growth pattern must be mowed in the late spiny or early flowering stage to be successful. An additional mowing may be necessary in some cases. Be sure to mow well before thistles are in flower to prevent seed spread.
- Prioritize thistle removal where the likelihood of seed spread is high such as road sides, cattle trails and loafing areas.

- **French broom** is limited on the Ranch and best controlled early as seeds remain viable in the soil for decades. Once well established, removal is extremely resource intensive. Pulling shrubs with weed wrenches is effective for broom removal in small infestations. The weed wrench removes the entire mature shrub, eliminating re-sprouting.
- Carefully monitor areas where outside feed is brought in for new invasive species and remove new weeds before they become established. If feasible, feed Certified Weed Free Hay or locally sourced hay to minimize the risk of introducing new invasive plant species.
- Do not import outside soil or fill material. It is often contaminated with invasive species and is not consistent with Easement terms.
- Be aware of seed transport on ranch equipment and clean vehicles/equipment as needed. All personnel working in infested areas shall take appropriate precautions to not carry or spread weed seed or plant and soil diseases outside of the infested area. Such precautions will consist of, as necessary based on site conditions, cleaning of soil and plant materials from tools, equipment, shoes, clothing, or vehicles prior to entering or leaving the site.
- Contact the local Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) for funding and technical assistance to help with integrated pest management practices.

Onion Grass is present on Toto Ranch. Control options are limited, though effective measures include fertilizing native vegetation and maintaining an abundance of natural forage to outcompete onion grass. Close foliar removal by hand clipping or line trimming at 3-5 week intervals has proven to reduce onion grass by up to 70 percent ^[16].

Implement an integrated approach described above to identifying and treating invasive plants on the Ranch that are impacting forage production and grassland health including but not limited to coyote brush, yellow starthistle, woolly distaff thistle, Italian thistle, bull thistle and onion grass. Work with Midpen, UCCE and/or local NRCS or RCD to determine best options and timing for specific treatments.

ADDITIONAL/SMALL LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

Small domestic livestock including but not limited to sheep, goats, chickens, pigs, llamas/alpacas, and horses should be confined to the designated Agricultural Lease area. Well established infrastructure including corrals, water sources, flight pens, coops, etc. exist within the Agricultural Lease and are suitable for the production of small domestic livestock/poultry. Domestic livestock such as sheep, goats, and chickens often attract natural predators that may inadvertently affect cattle grazing on the rangeland pastures. As such, small domestic livestock should be confined to enclosed pens/barns at night to minimize the risk of predation. Production of “pasture pork” or raising of domestic pigs should be limited to pens on flat areas within the Agricultural Lease area to minimize runoff of waste and reduce the risk of impacts to water quality.

VIII. Improvements and Maintenance Recommendations Budget

Fence Repair and Installation

Install a new barbed wire livestock fence to separate Field-3 into two (2) separate pastures. Full replacement of west and south property line fences is recommended over time. Partial replacement of significantly damaged/failing sections may also be completed as an alternate to full replacement. Replacement of the west boundary fence should be prioritized over the south boundary fence, though work may be completed over several years. While 5-strand barbed wire fence is more effective, a wildlife friendly fence using 4-strand barbed wire with a smooth bottom wire is also effective, though the smooth bottom wire is susceptible to damage and may require frequent repairs. Either style fence can be made wildlife friendly if the bottom wire is situated an average of 16"-18" above the ground allowing wildlife to cross underneath while functioning to contain livestock. West boundary fenceline along State Route-1 should be 6-strand barbed wire fence to ensure cattle do not get out on the highway. Below is a list of proposed fencing improvements for the Toto Ranch.

- A. West Property Boundary Fence Replace (entire replacement)
- B. Field-3 Cross-Fence Install
- C. South Property Boundary Fence Replace (entire replacement)
- D. Removal of Old Fence/Unused Fence/MIG
- E. Partial fencing of ponds may be considered as an adaptive management strategy for CRLF and SFGS
- F. Two Additional water tanks in the windmill area (if water yield increases)
- G. Two new westerly troughs off driveway for Pasture 1 & 2.

Road Repairs and Maintenance

Most roads on the ranch are in good condition and require little annual maintenance. The two (2) sections of road that show signs of rutting/gully activity should be repaired to maintain road integrity and protect downstream water quality. Additionally, if the Field-3 spring is developed and road surface dries out, a culvert or ford crossing should be installed and minor grading/brushing will be required to make road passable. Special attention should be Choris' popcorn flower near this location and potential impacts to the population should be mitigated for. While the access driveway between State Route-1 and the Agricultural Lease area provides year-round access and is adequate for land management and the agricultural operation, landowner may wish to improve driveway to accommodate recreational use and the heavy vehicle traffic by the tenant's field day and workshop guests.

- A. Road Repairs (access road south of Agricultural Lease) (see Exhibit G, #3)
- B. Road Repairs (Field-3) (see Exhibit G, #1 and #2)
- C. Road Repairs (Driveway)

Water Infrastructure Improvements

Water infrastructure improvements will enhance livestock distribution and overall forage utilization as well as potentially extending the grazing season, which is currently affected by the lack of stockwater during summer/fall months. Reference the Proposed Infrastructure map for location of proposed water system improvements.

- A. Replace Plastic Water Troughs (In and around Agricultural Lease)
- B. Install New Waterline and Trough North of Agricultural Lease
- C. Field-3 Water System (spring, pump, tank, pipe, and troughs)
 - a. Project to be completed if no negative long term impacts to Choris' popcorn flower
- D. Ensure wildlife escape ramps are present in all troughs
- E. Any spring developments must adhere to the District's wildlife friendly spring development designs.

Vegetation Management

Implement an integrated approach that is consistent with the District's IPM Program to controlling invasive vegetation with a focus on woolly distaff thistle, French broom, onion grass, and coyote brush. Manual, mechanical, biological, and chemical control measures may be implemented including but not limited to timed grazing, mowing, hand digging, herbicide application, reseeding, and burning/torching. Estimated annual costs for treatment of invasive vegetation will vary based on presence and distribution of invasive vegetation and treatment methods. Develop a strategic plan for control of coyote brush on the Toto Ranch with a focus on ridge tops, around stockponds, and populations of Choris' popcorn flower.

Coyote brush is well established in many of the steeper canyons and has expanded into the ridgetops and open grassland areas over time. Coyote brush encroachment in the grasslands has reduced forage production by 50 to 80 percent in many pastures. The landowner has attempted mechanical control of the coyote brush by mowing, primarily in the front pastures between the Agricultural Lease and State Route-1. The mowing has reduced the size of the individual plants but has done little to reduce the quantity and percent cover of the coyote brush. A coyote brush encroachment management plan should be developed for the Ranch. Future brush control efforts, including chemical control, should be considered following the recommendations in the coyote brush management plan to maintain the estimated carrying capacity.

IX. Recommended Monitoring Protocols

The monitoring program for the grazed rangeland pastures on the Toto Ranch is designed to ensure that the specific rangeland uses are in compliance with this Rangeland Management Plan, the agricultural conservation easement, and the land stewardship goals and objectives.

It is recommended that the landowner/operator establish a routine monitoring protocol for the Toto Ranch. The following guidelines outline suggested monitoring criteria:

- Monitor forage utilization and livestock distribution trends to ensure appropriate RDM remains on the ground to achieve desired resource management objectives, including soil stability and water quality.
- Monitor the condition of livestock infrastructure, including water systems, gates and fencing, to ensure conformity with the terms of the easement and to improve rangeland and grazing management practices.
- Monitor non-native invasive vegetation with an emphasis on location, distribution and abundance of plant species. Describe methods for treatment or control of invasive species (grazing, herbicide application, mowing, etc.) and vegetation response to treatment methods.
- Monitor ponds to ensure habitat for special status wildlife species free of invasive predators such as fish and/or bullfrogs.
- Monitor desirable vegetation including native grasses, wildflowers, and trees with an emphasis on location, distribution, and abundance. Describe any impacts, positive or negative, observed as a result of agricultural practices (farming and/or grazing).
- Monitor vegetation that was planted as part of restoration or remediation work (where applicable) with an emphasis on location, distribution, abundance, and survival rate.
- Natural climatic changes (drought, floods, fire, etc.), geologic process, and biologic cycles beyond the landowners control should be noted and described as applicable.
- Stocking rates, herd type, and duration of grazing should be noted where applicable.
- Monitor rangelands pastures that are grazed by horses. Annual point line monitoring for species composition in addition to RDM monitoring is recommended in Pastures #1, #2, and #3 to monitor potential changes in vegetation guilds.

Monitoring observations can be used as a guideline for adaptive management changes, as needed, based on the results of annual monitoring. To evaluate the above listed monitoring criteria, several baseline photo-monitoring points can be retaken and a monitoring form completed for each site on an annual basis. Monitoring should occur in the fall prior to the first fall/winter rainfall of the year. Photos in Attachment-A to this plan can be utilized as photo monitoring points for the landowner/operator and be used as a reference on which to base

future monitoring comparisons. A sample photo monitoring form can be found under Exhibit-G. Annual monitoring visits conducted by Midpen staff will document and photograph any concerns, trends, and general overall resource conditions observed throughout the property.

Recommended Monitoring Items:

- ✓ Residual Dry Matter (RDM): RDM levels can be recorded using pounds per acre and measurements can be calculated or ocular estimates dependent on the skill set and experience of the monitor. RDM average standards are based on the University of California Cooperative Extension (UCCE) and Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) prescribed grazing performance standards. The prescribed RDM standard for moderate grazing is an average minimum of 800-1,000 pounds per acre of dry matter (two to three inches of standing RDM) on slopes of 0 to 30 percent, and 1,000-1,200 pounds per acre of dry matter (three to four inches of standing RDM) on slopes greater than thirty percent. Leaving prescribed levels of RDM on the ground surface will provide a grassland seed crop for the following season, minimize the risk for soil erosion and sedimentation, and protect water quality. Please reference Attachment-B, 'Guidelines for Residual Dry Matter on Coastal and Foothill Rangelands in California', for more detailed information on RDM standards and data collection.

RDM measurements should be taken in the fall of each year at sites that are exemplary of the average RDM level in a pasture. Areas that are heavily frequented by livestock or do not adequately represent the average RDM level in a given pasture should be exempt from data collection. The following is a list of areas that should be avoided when collecting RDM samples or measurements:

- a. Areas that have burned
 - b. Roads
 - c. Corrals, and associated lanes and holding fields/traps
 - d. Sites with low soil fertility (rock outcrops, sandy soils) or high tree cover
 - e. Areas within 150 feet of water sources, stockpounds, supplemental feeding sites
 - f. Areas subject to damage by wildlife such as feral pigs
 - g. Areas that are or have been recently cultivated
- ✓ Plant Communities Observed: Include a list of the plant communities observed within view of the photo point for example annual grassland, woodlands, wetlands, etc. Note any measurable trends or transition between plant community types from the prior year.
 - ✓ Invasive Species Observed: Include a list of observed invasive plant species noting relative abundance, location, and density. Note any differences from the prior year.
 - ✓ Infrastructure: Identify infrastructure relevant to the grazing and/or agricultural operation (water troughs, tanks, fencing, irrigation lines) noting location, current condition and need for adjustments or repairs.

- ✓ **Soil Erosion:** Identify areas that are at risk for erosion or where soil loss has occurred as a result of surface water flow, wind, fire, or human activity. These sites may include gullies, bare ground exposure, landslides, ruts, or notable surface runoff. Note historic activity and any current activity. Recommend soil protection measures.
- ✓ **Access Road Observations:** Note condition of road including surface condition, vegetation cover, culverts, recent maintenance or grading, and water diversion measures that are in place. Identify any signs of erosion, rutting, or gullying on the road surface or below road, particularly downstream of channel crossings.
- ✓ **Wildlife Observed:** Identify wildlife species observed at location of the photo point including specie information and relative abundance. Observations of special status species shall be reported to the District Natural Resources Department to be included in annual reporting to regulatory agencies.
- ✓ **Annual Precipitation:** Note the rainfall, in total inches, for the season. Keeping annual precipitation records is important in determining whether rainfall amount and distribution were average, below average, or above average. In average and above average rainfall years the RDM performance standards should be met. In below average rainfall years, RDM performance standards may be exceeded, but not for more than a period of two consecutive years. Annual stocking rates and grazing duration should be adjusted annually to accommodate forage production and annual precipitation.

GRAZING MONITORING CHECKLIST (SAMPLE)

SITE NAME _____ DATE _____ PHOTO POINT _____

MONITOR(S): _____

MEASURED RAINFALL (_____ INCHES): [] < AVERAGE [] AVERAGE [] >AVERAGE


MONITORING ITEMS:

___ RESIDUAL DRY MATTER (RDM) Lbs. PER ACRE: _____ 0-30% slope _____ >30% slope
Estimated [] Actual Measurement []

___ PLANT COMMUNITIES OBSERVED:

- [] Annual Grassland [] Mixed Forest [] Coyote Brush/Scrub
- [] Oak Woodland [] Aquatic Habitat [] Riparian Habitat
- [] Other Communities: _____
- [] Native Grasses: _____

___ WILDLIFE OBSERVED:



INFRASTRUCTURE / ROADS (Improvements, Condition, New Items, Future Concerns, etc.):

PONDS /STREAMS /AQUATIC FEATURES (Access, Vegetation, Water Clarity, Culverts, Spillways, etc.):

VEGETATION (Invasives, Natives, Thatch Amount, Encroachment, Plant Mortality, etc.):

*Relative Abundances: 1 = 1-10 / 2 = 10-100 / 3 = 100+ / 4 = Dominant Vegetation Type

EROSION CONCERNS (Gullying, Rilling, Slides, Surface Runoff, Bare Soil, etc.):

GENERAL NOTES (Cattle info, Landscape Changes, etc.):


DISCUSSION ITEMS/CONCERNS:

REFERENCES

1. Resource Management Policies, Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (PDF). https://www.openspace.org/sites/default/files/Resource_Management_Policies.pdf. Pages 53-57. Accessed 07/12/2017.
2. Agenda Item 7. Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District Board of Directors Meeting #12-36. R-12-109. November 14, 2012.
3. PERSONAL COMMUNICATION: Markegard, Erik (grazing tenant). June 2017. Personal communication with Clayton Koopmann.
4. PERSONAL COMMUNICATION: Markegard, Doniga (grazing tenant). June 2017. Personal communication with Clayton Koopmann.
5. Annual Crop Report, San Mateo County. 2016. San Mateo County Agricultural Commissioner's Office.
6. National Cooperative Soil Survey. soilseries.sc.egov.usda.gov. 2014.
7. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2007. San Mateo County, California (CA041) Soil Data, (Version 5, Dec 10, 2007).
8. Soil Survey of Marin County, California, Volume 38. Soil Conservation Service. 1985.
9. PERSONAL OBSERVATION: Koopmann, Clayton. April 2017 site visit.
10. California Invasive Plant Council, *Invasive Plant Inventory Database*. <http://www.cal-ipc.org/paf>. August 2014.
11. US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2004. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants: Determination of threatened status for the California red-legged frog. *Federal Register* 61(101):25813-25833.
12. Managing Rangelands to Benefit California Red-Legged Frogs and California Tiger Salamanders. Lawrence D. Ford, Pete A. Van Hoorn, Devii R. Rao, Norman J. Scott, Peter C. Trenham, and James W. Bartolome. Chapters 4, 5, and 8. September 2013.
13. Agricultural Management Plan. *Sage and Associates*. 2016.
14. Guidelines for Residual Dry Matter on Coastal and Foothill Rangelands in California. University of California Cooperative Extensions (UCCE). Publication 8092. 2002.
15. California Natural Diversity database last accessed online January 2018.
16. "Onion Grass (*Romulea rosea*) Management in Pastures". Department of Environment and Industries, Melbourne (Victoria, Australia). Note Number AG1839. December 2009.

PLAN PREPARED BY:

Having prepared this Rangeland Management Plan (RMP), I certify that it is consistent with the purpose and requirements, as set forth in the relevant RMP Provisions. As with any plan, this RMP should be viewed as a living document, subject to periodic update and review as needed to reflect changing on-farm conditions over time. The RMP should be updated at least every ten years, or in the event of significant changes in the use, management, or ownership of the Property.



Clayton W. Koopmann

August 30, 2018
Date

Clayton W. Koopmann, B.S., Agricultural Management & Rangeland Resources; Owner Koopmann Rangeland Consulting; California Board of Forestry Registered Certified Rangeland Manager #100



ATTACHMENT – A

2017 Baseline Photos: Toto Ranch Photo Point Location Map

(Baseline photos can be used as reference for establishing photo-monitoring points annually by the landowner. Long term trends can be noted when comparing the baseline photo updates against the original baseline photos.)

ATTACHMENT – B

Guidelines for Residual Dry Matter Monitoring
University of California


ATTACHMENT – C

Vegetation Composition Specie List (Observed): Toto Ranch

ATTACHMENT – D

Recommended management approach and best management practices for California red-legged frogs on the Toto Ranch

Managing Rangelands to Benefit California Red-Legged Frogs & California Tiger Salamanders – Chapters 4, 5 & 8



POST (Toto Ranch) and Surrounding Area

- | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
|  | POST (Toto Ranch) |  | Land Trust |
|  | MROSD Preserves |  | Private Property |
|  | Other Protected Open Space or Park Lands |  | Non MROSD Conservation or Agricultural Easement |

ATTACHMENT 2

Midpeninsula Regional
Open Space District
(MROSD)



September, 2012






Exhibit A: Toto Ranch Grazing Premise

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
|  POST (Toto Ranch) |  Highway or Major Road |  Proposed Fencing |
|  Field Boundary |  Minor Unpaved Road |  Existing Fencing |
|  Pond |  Trail |  Spring or Well |
| | |  Water Tank |

ATTACHMENT 3 Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (MROSD)



September, 2012





Toto Ranch - Proposed Infrastructure


-  Area Excluded From Rangeland Management Plan
-  Fence (Existing/Proposed)
-  Natural Barrier
-  Water Distribution Line (Existing/Proposed)
-  Water Trough (Existing/Proposed [Exact Location TBD])
-  Water Tank (Existing/Proposed [Exact Location TBD])
-  Spring (Existing/Proposed)
-  Well

ATTACHMENT 4 Midpeninsula Regional
 Open Space District
 (MROSD)
 August 2018



While the District strives to use the best available digital data, these data do not represent a legal survey and are merely a graphic illustration of geographic features.


Path: G:\Projects\Tunitas_Creek\TotoRanch\RangeLandMonitoringPlan\TR_RoadRepairs_20170807.mxd
Created By: ngreig



Toto Ranch - Road Repairs

 Road Repair Locations

ATTACHMENT 5 Midpeninsula Regional
Open Space District
(MROSD)
August 2017



While the District strives to use the best available digital data, these data do not represent a legal survey and are merely a graphic illustration of geographic features.